

Michael S. Freeman II

January 25th, 2025

The White House
ATTN: Susie Wiles
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20500

Mrs. Wiles,

I am writing this letter to express my concerns with election integrity in the State of Colorado. Like many people across our country, when President Trump lost the election to Biden in 2020 and claimed without sufficient evidence that the election was stolen from him, I thought Trump was a buffoon desperate to stay in power.... However, I—and many others—appear to have been incorrect in that assumption. Upon research into the matter, it does paint a picture of election corruption spanning the last decade that necessitates federal intervention and new legislation. Accordingly, it is imperative that such egregious behavior be investigated immediately, and that new law be enacted at the state and federal level to prevent this from ever happening again within our country.

I do not profess to know *how* such a large election engineering effort is being facilitated, but I would surmise that it would have to involve one or more federal agencies to coordinate. There are several federal agencies with large dark budgets or inexplicably missing funding that have objectives that are not bound by morals or genuine oversight that function with near impunity against detection or prosecution due to their status and political/legal connections. These federal agencies would also have access to ostensible security measures such as signature authentication through the Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) and extensive connections with mainstream media to prevent election cheating from being objectively reported on. If you can prevent destruction of evidence within such agencies, federal agencies are where the search for answers should begin.

Some portion of the election engineering may be grassroots corruption. If an election worker or office views Trump as an existential threat to their existence akin to Hitler rising to power, the things that they are willing to do to avert that situation increase dramatically--to include committing crimes to prevent that outcome. Voters function in the same manner, so this has a compounding effect. A culture of election workers with this mindset and no objective oversight have many different methods available to help their side secure more victories. (fraudulent tallying, allowing invalid ballots that benefit one side to count, smuggling in faux ballots, etc.) Humans in general are very tribalistic, which means they are prone to attaching themselves to tribal groupthink and cease using logic in pursuit of their side winning—this applies to conservative-leaning people, but liberal-leaning people are far more prone to this effect. For example, A 2022 Rasmussen poll found that “Fifty-nine percent (59%) of Democratic voters would favor a government policy requiring that citizens remain confined to their homes at all times, except for emergencies, if they refuse to get a COVID-19 vaccine”¹ and that “Nearly half (48%) of Democratic voters think federal and state governments should be able to fine or imprison individuals who publicly question the efficacy of the existing COVID-19 vaccines on social media, television, radio, or in online or digital publications.” Anybody with 4 braincells to rub together realized by 2022 that the vaccines were not preventing the spread of the virus and that the government was lying about the efficacy of their countermeasures, but despite this left-leaning voters still latched onto such irrational and extremely draconian

measures because they perceived Covid as an existential threat and viewed unvaccinated people as a manifestation of that perceived threat.

While grassroots cheating can account for some of the election cheating, there are multiple signs that the majority of the cheating requires organization and coordination, which grassroots cheaters could not facilitate at such a large scale in such a short period of time. For example, a few lone wolf election cheaters do not have the resources or time to produce and complete thousands of extra ballots and identify which voters did not vote and submitting a fraudulent ballot on their behalf while also counting votes 8-12 hours a day, nor would they have the network to get someone else to do that work for them at such a large scale because most non-election people have jobs or school that interfere with such aggressive timelines. Moreover, overcoming security measures such as signature verification, election monitoring mechanisms, and prevention of a proper legal/criminal investigation requires more coordination than the average grassroots cheater is able to facilitate. Things like bomb threats against strategic ballot counting operations have no motive for a grassroots cheater and these sorts of events are exclusive to a larger coordinated effort. Lastly, the strategic manner in which this election cheating reared its head recently and in such a short timeline is also indicative of larger organization of the effort. Ultimately federal investigators “fail”² by declining to initiate a criminal investigation, incompetently investigating it, under prosecuting cheating, and refusing to look for higher involvement.

Nationwide Election Engineering

While this letter is primarily focused on Colorado, it is important to see the bigger picture and look at some of the detected election engineering that took place across the country in our most recent election:

- Bomb threats placed against strategic ballot counting facilities in strategic locations³, which often required shutting down operations for several hours whilst no one knows what was occurring inside the facility or what might have been smuggled out or into them. Just like the January 6th, 2021 bomber, we never find out who called in these bomb threats. Very convenient.
- 2,500 likely-fraudulent voter registrations in one PA county. A rational person would have to ask how many times this happens and it is NOT caught.⁴
Bucks County, PA ballot boxes closed early with no genuine explanation.
Unsurprisingly, this is a heavily Trump supporting area of PA.⁵
- In Bucks County, PA (GOP leaning area) the voting line was closed at 1:45PM with no good explanation.^{6,7}
- Georgia set up illegal ballot collection sites at the last minute and tried to prevent poll watchers from observing their “special” weekend operations.^{8,9} This is deeply illegal, but election officials tried to allow it under the cover of darkness until the public pressure became too great. One of these sites had a day where only 16 ballots were received.⁹ How many would it have been had there been no observer present? We’ll likely never know. This is another event that is exclusive to higher-level orchestration of this election cheating.
- In Whitfield County, GA voters that voted for Trump had their votes flipped when the printout sheet came out.¹⁰
- Milwaukee, WI 4:00AM ballot dump results in bizarre leap in votes for Democrat Senate candidate.¹¹ Some precincts in Milwaukee had over 200% turnout.¹¹ Nearly 99% of the 4:00AM ballot drop when to the Democrat candidate.¹¹
- In 2024, Voting machine “glitch” in Kentucky did not allow voters to select Trump.¹²

- Twenty ballots in Minnesota state house race went missing from one precinct in a race ultimately decided by 14 votes.¹³ This race had even bigger stakes as it would determine whether Republicans would take the chamber.
- Voting machines in unspecified GOP stronghold areas mysteriously stopped working, forcing voters to wait in line for hours (likely in the hopes that they would give up).¹⁴

Its important to keep in mind that these are just the items off the top of my head and just the issues in the 2024 election and mostly focus on swing states because those are the states that matter the most in the presidential election—we generally don't pay attention to elections in non-swing states, which is very unfortunate because there is still a lot of election corruption that occurs in these places. Its also important to recognize that Trump had large legal teams in swing states to monitor and fight these issues immediately in 2024 and did not have this extensive monitoring occurring in the 2020 election or in non-swing states in either election. A lot of election cheating occurred unabated in the 2020 presidential election and the midterm elections before and after it that only started being confirmed after Trump left office and the issue was "moot". It is not longer moot because President Trump won the 2024 election with extensive legal/poll watching surveillance in swing states in a manner that was "too big to rig".

Looking at recent elections nationwide prior to 2024 for large-scale election cheating reveals that even more blatant cheating took place:

- Orange County, CA had tens of thousands of ballot discrepancies in their 2018 midterms.¹⁵ This is also one of the first references to large scale ballot harvesting operations where some individuals dropped off 100 or 200 ballots at a time with no chain of custody or explanation for where they came from. Also noted is that "California saw 4,547,705 mail-in ballots cast [in 2014 general election]; that number nearly doubled to 8,302,488 in 2018." This is likely the beginning of the ramping up of smaller scale cheating operations into large scale ones.
- In 2020, Fulton County, GA (Atlanta) had severe election integrity issues: missing ~381k ballot images, failure to validate 148k signatures, double-scanning of ballots, etc.¹⁶ During the counting, one election worker was quoted as saying "It's time to consider taking out the boxes and doing our thing. That is what you want.... We are staying after. We have an entire evening to wipe this over and over... Take the boxes and get me some results."¹⁷ Defamation suits with excessive and manifestly unreasonable penalties from our corrupt court system that often rewrites reality¹⁸ aside, such a quote creates a perception of a conspiracy to commit election cheating.
- Over Columbus Day Weekend in Georgia, one drop box had 19k ballots received but surveillance showed that only 24 people visited the drop box during that time.¹⁹
- On November 4th, 2020 (the day *after* the 2020 election) Detroit, MI had a van pull up in the early morning and drop off 61 boxes of ballots.²⁰ Regardless of what local authorities say, common sense must be used: If voting was cut off at 7:00PM on November 3rd and collected by election officials immediately, why would it take all the way until the next morning for the ballots to get to the counting facility? It is claimed that there is "no evidence" that this was them cheating, however it should be noted that no objective authority looked for evidence of cheating. Check the cell phone or vehicle's GPS data of the drivers/passengers to see if they were driving to different ballot boxes all night or if they were somewhere else. I am willing to bet money that GPS data does not support the narrative presented by officials.
- In November 6th, 2020, the Michigan GOP Chair revealed that "Ballots were counted for Democrats that were meant for Republicans... tabulating software 'glitched'... We have now discovered that 47 counties used this same software."²¹
- Sen. James Lankford noted that in the 2020 election within Nevada there was 42k people that voted more than once, 1,500 dead voters, 19k not residents of Nevada, 15k registered to

commercial address, and 4,000 illegal alien voters.²² Despite this revelation, not a single prosecution has commenced against people voting in this manner.

- North Carolina allowed mail-in ballots to be counted 6 days after the 2020 election.²³ The problem with this policy is that there is no chain-of-custody established for each ballot and the votes are likely not postmarked to certify they were mailed prior to the closure of the ballot boxes. Of course, the GOP's suit against this sketchy law was dismissed by a partisan hack judge within our corrupt court system.
- In 2020, Arizona state senate did an audit of Arizona's 2020 election. It turns out that 25% of 2020 mail-in ballots (~421k out of ~19M) had no signature match whatsoever.²⁴
- A forensic audit of Maricopa County (Phoenix), and 10% were found to be counterfeit. Assuming this only occurred in Maricopa County (an inappropriate assumption), 10% of such a large population county has drastic effects for the entire state—a lot of issues/candidates at federal, state, and local level are decided by margins much smaller than that.²⁵
- In 2020 in Wisconsin, Michael Spitzer Rubenstein was a partisan election operative paid by none other than Mark Zuckerberg and he had access to the ballots, election computers, and keys for buildings and could decide which ballots counted and which ones didn't.²⁶ During the election Mr. Rubenstein was noted as using a hidden internet connection with secret passwords provided by the Green Bay counting facility host and had a private router ran up to his personal hotel room at the counting facility.²⁷ The hotel itinerary for the booking noted on Election day that "Somewhere around 6:15AM, ballots will begin to arrive at the hotel. There will be approximately 47 boxes of ballots that will go to the Grand Ballroom. The persons bringing the ballots will go to (hotel) security for term check and wrist banding and will need assistance getting a red cart/s and shown the way to the Grand Ballroom via elevator and back of house."²⁸ These kinds of developments obviously support the *appearance* of organized election cheating occurring in Wisconsin regardless of whether or not actual election cheating took place.
- 2020 Democrat Ballot Harvester in Minnesota films himself with hundreds of absentee ballots and brags that money buys elections.²⁹ Reminder: Part of the Orange County, CA GOP investigation also revealed that ballot harvesters would drop off 100 to 200 ballots without explanation for where they came from or chain of custody.¹⁵
- Voting Machine in Pennsylvania judge race flipped votes on the summary screen after the voters made their selections resulting in a tabulation "error".³⁰
- In September of 2020, the Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) was aware that the spread of COVID-19 was not going to be increased by in-person voting. CISA also acknowledged that mail-in voting posed "major challenges" and "high numbers of improperly completed ballots" could be injected into the race. Despite that, CISA still supported policy changes that allowed rampant cheating in our elections and conspired with mainstream media to cover up these significant concerns.³¹

As we can see, a staggering amount of corruption took place that escaped review because of the assumption that elections are fair. Despite how large this list is, you would still be a fool to think that all acts of cheating were detected and identified in a meaningful manner. The phrase "we don't know what we don't know" comes to mind. However, assigning the unidentified election cheating a value of zero is where the deception lies. Mathematics is not a random aberration of numbers and symbols with no purpose, but instead created to reflect reality. In math, variables represented as letters are used to represent values that are not yet determined. We will likely never know the full extent of the cheating that occurred in any election, we can do a rough estimate of how much cheating likely occurred in recent years. Reaching what the figure is beyond my ability and should be done by an objective authority that has a genuine desire to generate an estimate, however, what I can say is that the amount of cheating that occurred in 2018 to the 2024 election is substantially higher than what

the mainstream media narrative presented to us is. I must remind you that tracking of these election integrity issues is primarily relegated to swing states and non-swing states did not get adequate election surveillance to prevent cheating from determining races occurring within their borders.

Analysis

Through analyzing these historical and recent election engineering tactics we see that various methods are used to steal elections, with the bulk of the cheating benefiting Democrats. While there are several smaller scale grassroots cheaters on both sides of the political aisle, I have seen no evidence or credible allegations of larger scale election engineering schemes being used to benefit Republicans. The methods utilized include (but are not limited to): Ballot harvesters, mail-in ballot fraud, counterfeit ballots, tallying manipulation, voting machine obstruction, voting machine vote manipulation, adjudication of rejecting ballots, suspicious ballot drop offs, duplicate ballots, duplicate vote counting, illegally voting, voter obstruction, and voting for deceased persons. The ballot harvesting, mail-in ballot process, and suspicious ballot drop offs at counting facilities seem to be the biggest threat to Democracy and are the most efficient methods for election cheaters to launder large amounts of votes, followed shortly by voting machine manipulation. The remaining methods are mostly a threat in the aggregate and in races that are very close in votes. The massive scale of the cheating involves multiple elements that include some higher level coordination to achieve (bomb threats against voting facilities, tabulation software 'glitches', opening 'special' ballot collection sites, refusal to initiate an objective and thorough criminal investigation, etc.).

Colorado Election Engineering

Most of the country saw a lot of cheating over the last few elections, and Colorado is no exception. It's a great shame that no one is investigating election legitimacy in Colorado, because if they did, they would likely find extensive cheating. Because we are not a swing state, no one cares whether our elections are fair –certainly not our state leadership that benefits because of this election cheating. Coloradans have been left to the wolves, and it is destroying our state with extreme left politics that would not be occurring if it were not for the election cheating that put some of these extreme partisan hacks in power.

A. Vacancy Appointments


What is happening in Colorado with these vacancy appointments is horrifying and likely indicative of criminal behavior. **Why did so several Colorado legislators win their election only to turn around and immediately resign and delegate their seat to the vacancy process?? This has a heavy appearance of corruption, and it's a shame that nobody is doing a genuine investigation into the true motives of these legislators and why they would want to push their seat to the vacancy appointment selection process (which is of course influenced by election cheating).** I do not know the motive/purpose of these suspicious resignations, but I again take us back to the math reference: just because we have not identified the answer, doesn't mean there is not an answer or that the answer is zero. These legislators' resignations create a strong appearance of corruption and the U.S. DoJ needs to conduct an objective and genuine investigation into the motives behind these suspicious resignations and the vacancy appointment process because our state agencies turn a blind eye to it.

B. Numbers Don't Lie

It's a tragedy that so many people mentally check out the second someone starts mentioning numbers, percentages, differences, etc. because if they *didn't* and actually followed through on processing them, it would reap great rewards for your understanding of the election cheating. If the

average Coloradan could mentally process these numbers they would be outraged if they are politically moderate or right-leaning or elated if they are left-leaning (people are tribal and don't care about *fairness*, they just want their side to win). In a moral and less tribalistic world, *everyone* would be outraged regardless of their personal political affiliation. Once you take the time to understand the numbers, a startling picture of election cheating becomes abundantly clear.

In 2016, this was the election results for Colorado:



(Source: Politico)

As you can see, the Democrat candidate and the GOP candidate only had a difference of less than 100k votes. The Libertarian candidate got 129k votes (one of which was mine), and most Libertarians lean right, and if you count those voters as right-leaning voters, the left and right voters are essentially neck and neck in numbers in 2016. You can factor in other political parties that received sparse votes and disperse them accordingly, but it does not change the underlying takeaway, which is that in 2016, Colorado was a politically moderate state.

Despite being traditionally politically moderate (Even Ballotpedia recognizes the traditionally moderate stature of Colorado politics)³² up to 2016, in 2020 the Colorado House of Representatives secured 41 seats for Democrats vs. 23 seats for Republicans—this gave the Democrats a veto-proof supermajority. The Democrats took this supermajority and forced the furthest left policies they could dredge up on Coloradans and silenced opposition when needed toran this state into the ground. I do not live in Denver, but I have visited Denver many times over the past decade and that city has gone to shit because of far-left politicians in Denver. The most iconic city in our state has been ran completely into the ground and they continue doing so—despite this and most of the country seeing moderate to large shifts rightward, Colorado barely had any gains for Republicans in the General Assembly in the 2024 election. Shortly after the election it was reported that Colorado was the only state that did not shift rightward³³—obviously, this makes Colorado elections stick out like a sore thumb, so in the days following that report, coincidentally a few pity wins were reluctantly handed to Republicans vying for state legislator seats, but it was still nothing close to what most other states saw.

However, I must digress... The absurdity of our elections did not stop at the state legislative level; looking at the presidential election in 2020, these were the results:



(Source: Politico)

President Trump received about 200k more votes than he did in the previous election, but Biden got 600k more votes than Clinton and the staggering difference in percentage of the right and left votes became clear and our state was henceforth hard left. 800k new votes in a state of ~5.8M at the time that only gained ~300k new residents over the course of that time, with 3 out of 4 of these new votes going to the Democrats. In 4 years, Such a large shift in our state politics occurred in only 4 fucking years. This is the narrative they want us to believe and this is the narrative that we are not supposed to question.

Seeing a large shift leftward for presidential candidates is possibly genuine if you have a particularly inspiring candidate on one side and a terrible candidate on the other, such as Barrack Obama’s win in 2008, but that sort of shift is typically accompanied with a corresponding drop in votes for that losing side which is not present here, and that usually isn’t seen with such a large shift in the state legislature in the same direction because a lot of people are not down ballot voters. Trump *gained* voters in 2020 over the last election, but Biden magically gained far more voters than his predecessor despite a lackluster and uninspiring campaign which is highly improbable and defies logic—of course this large win for Biden translated into massive down ballot wins for the entire party.

2024 was not much better for Trump. While the cheating was curtailed a bit and the 2024 numbers are slightly more believable, it’s still completely unrealistic.

Colorado, Pres.		Dems held	
10 electoral votes			
		Votes	Pct.
✓	Kamala Harris (D)	1,728,159	54.2%
○	Donald Trump (R)	1,377,441	43.2
○	Robert Kennedy (Oth.)	35,623	1.1
✓	Other candidates	49,650	1.6
		99% of expected vote in	

(Source: Politico)

As stated previously, the General Assembly vote only resulted in very mild losses for Democrats and they still hold a very strong majority.

In summary, Colorado was very politically moderate in 2016 and with no real explanation took a hard left turn by 2020 and doubled-down on that hard left turn despite the state being ravaged by far left state and local government policies. In most states destroyed by hyper partisan politicians, there is a rebuke that manifests itself in the next election, but Colorado doubled-down on this destruction. This is anecdotal, but I was born in raised in California, and I can tell you that the average Coloradan is not nearly as deranged as the average Californian and these elections indicate. The average Coloradan is not this far removed from reality. Even California moved further to the right in 2024, so somehow Colorado has driven further left off a cliff than California!! Even the furthest left cesspools in California had rebukes of some of the destructive far left policies: Oakland Mayor--recalled, Oakland DA—lost election, San Francisco mayor—lost election, Los Angeles DA—lost election, Prop 36 (which their liberal governor didn’t support)—passed with 68%. Colorado on the other hand, saw nothing similar. Completely unrealistic.

In science, you often need to zoom into something using a telescope or a microscope to get a better understanding of it. This is no different here and we must zoom in to get a better understanding of the cheating. Unfortunately, I don't have a microscope and I only have a magnifying glass—the government is the ones with the microscope and I implore you to use it. Lets use my magnifying glass and take a closer look at the election results in Colorado:

In Denver County in 2012³⁴, the very popular and inspiring Democrat candidate, President Obama got ~222k votes vs ~73k for Mitt Romney. In the same county in 2020, the uninspiring Biden got 313k votes and Trump got ~72k!! The population of Denver only grew by about 100k overall³⁵ over those 8 years and the votes for the Republican barely moved, so such a sharp rise in Democrat votes would imply that every single person that moved to Denver over those 8 years not only voted, but voted for the Democrat or that the Dems were able to mobilize a huge repository of Denverites that didn't vote previously in Denver County and convinced them and the previous Democrat voters to vote in very large numbers for the Democrat candidate--Both of these explanations are highly unlikely. According to these numbers, **Denver became just under 50% more liberal over the course of 8 years and this cannot be explained by the population increase or a decrease in Republican voters.** This issue was not limited to Denver and occurred in many Counties across Colorado.

In Jefferson County in 2012, President Obama got ~159k votes vs ~144k for Mitt Romney. In the same county in 2020, Biden got ~218k votes vs ~148k for Trump. In 2024, the difference was even greater between the two candidates as Kamala got ~211k votes vs Trump's ~141k. Despite the state as a whole voting in greater numbers for Trump in 2024 than they did in 2020, Trump lost ~7k votes in Jefferson County. In 2012, Jefferson County's population was ~546k and only grew to 583k by 2020 and shrunk to ~576k in 2024. Between 2012 and 2020, Jefferson County gained ~37k residents and Democrats got an additional ~59k votes meanwhile the GOP only gained 4k votes, which is another example of a massive increase in Democrat votes without an adequate explanation for where these votes are coming from. As explained earlier, the increase in population (~37k here) does not mean all of these people are eligible voters³⁵, therefore this makes the drastic increase in Democrat votes even more suspicious. Who are the people in Jefferson County that did not vote in large numbers until 2020, then came out in enormous numbers for Democrats?

Last example: Even counties that did not switch to full Democrat control still shifted very far left. My own County, El Paso County is historically a very conservative stronghold, but in 2020 it experienced a strong leftward shift. In 2012, Mitt Romney got ~171k votes vs. 111k for the charismatic Obama in El Paso County. In 2016, Trump got ~180k votes vs. ~108k for the uncharismatic Clinton. In 2020, Trump got ~203k votes vs ~162k for the anemic Biden campaign-- Biden gained ~51k votes over his far more charismatic and inspiring Democrat Predecessor (Obama) and the GOP only gained 32k votes. **Approximately 5 in 6 of these new votes went to the Democrat candidate in one of the most conservative counties in the country.** Over that same timeframe, El Paso County grew its population from ~646k to ~728k residents resulting in a net gain of ~82k residents—again, I remind you that not all new residents are able to vote³⁵, so eligible voters out of that 82K is a lower number, meaning that some huge repository of residents that didn't previously vote in El Paso County suddenly came out in droves to vote for a lackluster Democrat candidate.

Lets return to Denver for a moment, because this seems to be ground zero for election cheating in Colorado. **In Denver County in 2024, the number being reported shifted drastically in the days following the election. From my own archived data, I can tell you that on November 6th, 2024, the NY Times was reporting Denver as having 66% of votes in with ~198k votes for**

Harris and ~48k for Trump. However on November 7th, NY Times was reporting Denver County as having only 55% of votes in with ~228k votes for Harris and ~57k votes for Trump.

Denver County			
Candidate	Party	Votes	Pct.
Kamala Harris	Dem.	197,940	79%
Donald J. Trump	Rep.	48,224	19

66% of votes in

(NYTimes – 11/6/2024 – 6:38 PM MST)

Denver County			
Candidate	Party	Votes	Pct.
Kamala Harris	Dem.	227,761	78%
Donald J. Trump	Rep.	56,883	20

55% of votes in

(NYTimes – 11/7/2024 – 8:39 PM MST)

The percentage of “votes in” went down after the election in conjunction with the raw number of votes going up. For most people, this may not stand out, but this is actually a pretty big deal because it means the denominator in the fraction changed. **The number of votes Denver County was expecting to receive in this election changed significantly following the closing of the polls.** The good news is that we do not need a mathematician or statistician to determine a rough estimate of how these numbers shifted because we can solve this using middle school-level math. **Based on this change, On November 6th, 2024 Denver County was expected to receive approximately ~373k votes and on November 7th, 2024 that number spiked to ~518k votes.** For reference, in 2012 approximately 302k votes for president and 332k in 2016 were received in Denver County, meaning that at that rate of growth ~373k would be closer to the expected number of votes, albeit there was still may have been mild election cheating inflating that number as well. **Ballots (to include mail-in ballots) in Colorado must be received by the country clerk before 7:00PM on Election Day, so there is no logical reason the amount of votes Denver was expecting to receive would shift so dramatically the day after the election.** Each precinct (even in Denver) only gets a few thousand votes, so they should have a close estimate pretty quickly as to how many ballots were received and are to be counted in the election—it’s even worse considering a lot of people vote early, so these precincts have to count even less ballots after 7:00PM on Election Day. It’s important to remember that I am one person and I was not expecting to catch this issue, so there are likely other counties across Colorado (and likely the country) that had similar shifts that I was unable to catch (remember: we shouldn’t assign a value of zero to undetected cheating) so the real number is likely substantially

higher. Over 100k fraudulent votes in a very politically moderate state can have drastic impacts on the political control of the state, which is very likely to be occurring in Colorado.

It's important not to get myopic in looking at election fraud. Just because a county did not flip to Democrat control or a county was already dark blue that cheating in these areas doesn't matter. These fraudulent votes all feed into a much bigger picture at all levels of government: President, U.S. Senators, Representatives, governor, attorney general, state representatives and senators, county judges, etc. resulting in more false victories in those areas for Democrats across the state. A few false votes in strategic locations across the state can quickly grow into a massive numbers advantage for Democrats, which they then turn around and use to force destructive and unconstitutional leftist dictates on the state. Naturally, you will see more cheating in Democrat strongholds with larger populations such as Denver County because of the politics, tribal psychology of the residents and election workers, and the larger population allowing large amounts of fraudulent votes to slip in unnoticed by most. Democrats and the entity orchestrating this election fraud understand that small victories feed into a much greater picture and they are more likely to work toward getting that result in as many areas as possible because they perceive the other side as an existential threat to their survival or globalist agenda respectively. This is why Democrats fight to keep elections from requiring ID to vote and fight to keep ineligible and duplicate voters on the voter rolls—the higher the raw number of registered voters (denominator) the easier it is for extra votes to slip in undetected. California is a prime example of this, as the state government prevented counties from asking to see ID prior to allowing someone to vote in the 2024 election. The DOJ also fought removal of ineligible voters from voter rolls in Virginia. **The cheating benefits Democrats and they know that, which is why they aggressively fight genuine election integrity improvement laws and cleaning up voter rolls, and want as little oversight as possible.**

C. Griswold's Failings

Unsurprisingly, Colorado Secretary of State (SoS) Jena Griswold has expressed zero interest in identifying and eradicating systemic election cheating despite her explicit responsibility to prevent and eliminate such election corruption. Colorado Attorney General and Gubernatorial Candidate Philip Weiser also expresses zero interest in investigating and prosecuting systemic election engineering that benefits Democrats. Additionally local DA's and clerks/recorders seem to have no interest in investigating and prosecuting such corruption, although it should be noted that most of them may be unaware that these election cheating tactics exist and wouldn't know how to investigate them if they did. The general assembly also has no interest in impeaching and removing officials that refuse to fulfill their responsibilities to ensure free and fair elections free from corruption and fraud or passing new laws that substantively improve election integrity in the state. This makes sense because if you are an elected official with no integrity or moral compass (as many are), then they understand that they benefit from the current scheme and have no desire to injure their own job security or political party's prospects. However, Griswold's failings go further and border somewhere between extreme negligence and implicit participation.

We cannot forget the court case in which Griswold was a defendant in a 2024 election court case that agreed with the plaintiff... for some reason in the suit trying to get Trump blocked from the ballot. Surprisingly, I'm not opposed to constitutional arguments that one may be barred from running or holding office due to that person committing acts repugnant to the Constitution (of course these cases would have to be taken up by the appropriate court). My issue with Griswold on this is that she was not a real defendant because she did not disagree with the plaintiff, so the entire thing was a farce and a clear abuse of the already shitty and overworked court system. If she truly felt that Trump committed an insurrection and wanted him blocked from the ballot, she should have been the plaintiff in that case and pursued that action herself instead of being a strawman defendant. Which to me speaks volumes of the narrative they were trying to build around the case. They wanted the case

to appear non-partisan, but we know it was not because of Griswold's emotional investment³⁶ in the outcome. This all increased the lawfare being used against Trump and largely worked in conjunction with lawfare across the country to attempt to drain financial resources from Trump in protecting against these suits.

Less than a month before the 2024 election, twelve counties in Colorado still had wireless access modules in their Election Management Systems representing over 400k active voters that should not have been on the machines--First election officials went from saying these modules didn't exist, to admitting they do, and now claiming they are easy to disable.^{37,38} Why wouldn't the state just remove the wireless access modules upon request? Seems nefarious. A lot of races are very close and the potential to manipulate even a fraction of that 400k votes across 12 counties can have a serious impact on election results.

The organization, Colorado Institute for Fair Elections identified several deficiencies with mail-in ballot integrity to include inadequate tracking of undeliverable ballots (ballots that did not reach the voter, with many ballots missing with no explanation as to where they went).³⁸

Heidi Ganahl also points out the low quality video feeds and poor angles on cameras that should be monitoring ballot drop boxes, making tracking excessive ballot harvesting and the people doing it impossible to track. (Remember the 2020 case of the ballot drop box that had only 24 people visit it and received 19,000 ballots.)¹⁹ Poor camera surveillance, no observers, and excessive costs with attempting to procure surveillance footage makes election integrity issues extremely likely across Colorado. This is likely by design.

Next we have a fraudulent ballot submission scheme that was detected in Mesa County.³⁹ Twelve fraudulent ballots were submitted to Mesa County and three of those fake ballots were counted and irreversible. One of these ballots was only detected after a voter got a notification that they voted when they knew they hadn't voted yet. The fact that any of these fake ballots made it through the ballot-counting process is concerning. I want you to notice that no one has been arrested for this yet, nor has Mesa County provided an update into how many ballots following their audit were determined to be fraudulent. Did Mesa County contact every voter to verify that they made the selections on their ballot? Probably not. You'd be a fool to think that Mesa County identified every fraudulent vote or that this problem is limited to one small outbreak in one small county. How many fraudulent ballots are missed by other counties every year? We will not get answers through our state public officials, and the only way to know the extent of the problem is to verify every single vote was genuine.

Plenty of reports of ballots of former residents being received by voters have been declared on X (many times with pictures of these extra ballots).⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ This problem is likely prevalent across the state and is likely a significant flaw with automatic registration and ballot mailing. How many people are listed as being "active" registered voters no longer live in our state? How many people get these extra ballots and fill them out and submit them without getting caught? If you recall back to our math lesson: If you're going to do election cheating you want a larger denominator ("registered voters") because it gives you wiggle-room to cheat.

"Feature" on voting machines allows mail-in ballot results to display for election officials *before* election day without any log data to indicate this has been done.⁴⁵ A nefarious actor could easily exploit this to estimate how many fake ballots need to be injected on election day to result in a favorable outcome. In Griswold's mind, we are expected to *trust* that such a 'feature' will never be exploited for political gain.

Lastly, we have Griswold's voting systems BIOS passwords leak on the official Colorado Secretary of State website. This of course opened up the potential for widespread voting fraud even more than it already was. Combining it with the inexplicable wireless access modules exacerbates the issue. Griswold maintains that this leak was an accident, but we don't know that. How do we know that this password leak was not meant as a method for smuggling these passwords to a nefarious actor(s) in a clever fashion to facilitate improper intrusions into state voting systems? How do we know that the entire purpose of this leak was not to allow the CO SoS' office access to uniformly reset the passwords to pass those passwords on to nefarious actor(s) for improper intrusion into these systems or manually install some kind of self-deleting cheating code onto the systems? The real answer is that we don't. We had the CO SoS' office, the Denver DA's office, and a third party investigator⁴⁶ look into the matter, but The CO SoS' office and the Denver DA's office have significant conflicts of interest, and I am unable to validate the third-party investigator's report in a meaningful matter. The more appropriate investigator would be someone from the DOJ with a genuine interest in getting answers.

All of Griswold's failings notwithstanding, I could almost forgive all of it and chalk these issues up to incompetence, negligence, or genuine errors instead of nefarious intent, however, the two things that I cannot forgive are the hyper-partisan manner in which she conducts her job which makes her unfit for the position, and her arrogance concerning election integrity. Her hyper-partisan conduct makes it more likely that she would wittingly or unwittingly participate in a scheme to defraud Coloradans. If this entire letter pertained to the inverse, Griswold would be the first and loudest person to scream about election cheating, but because the cheating benefits her side of the political aisle, she looks the other way and declares anyone doubting her "Gold Standard" elections as a dangerous conspiracy theorist. One day, rampant election fraud will be confirmed by authorities and does anyone think Griswold is going to eat her words and apologize over her indignant response to people with significant concerns about election integrity? Hopefully the average person is not *that* stupid.

D. Analysis

Colorado elections are deeply insecure and need significant integrity improvements, and we are entitled to protection against fraud and corruption in our elections.⁴⁷ Unfortunately despite our legal entitlement to that protection, we are refused it by public officials that benefit from and are appointed through such election fraud. There seems to be a multitude of ways in which this cheating has occurred, but most of it seems to have begun in 2018-2020 timeframe, and heavily favors Democrats. Most of the election engineering seems to be occurring in larger metropolitan areas, which makes sense because that is where the most votes can be efficiently laundered and where the politics of the election workers and residents aligns with far-left views. Ballot harvesting, mail-in ballot process discrepancies, and suspicious ballot drop offs at counting facilities seem to be the largest risks to Democracy and are the most efficient methods for election cheaters to launder large amounts of votes, followed shortly by voting machine manipulation. Remaining tactics, such as tallying manipulation, biased adjudication, duplicate votes) are a much bigger threat in the aggregate, in close-margin races, and in large metropolitan areas. There is still likely a lot of election cheating that occurred nationwide that was not detected, and that cheating combined with the election cheating here makes me conclude that the 2020 election was likely stolen from Donald Trump and many other elected officials both at the state and federal level.

Equally important as identifying the cheating itself, is identifying the reasons it began recently in such large numbers. It is very possible that some cheating occurred prior to 2016 that mostly benefited Republicans, but it is impossible that such cheating was ever done at the immense scales and organization that the current election cheating scheme that is being accomplished to benefit Democrats is. The magnitude and rapid nature in which this cheating manifested itself nationwide

greatly increases the chances that these methods are being orchestrated by a very powerful entity with significant resources such as corrupt multi-billionaires and/or covert government agencies.

Regardless of the root of the cheating, the amount of cheating occurring in Colorado elections is substantial and has ravaged the state with far-left policies and politicians that the politically moderate Colorado citizens did not vote for in the unrealistic margins presented by voting data. Regrettably, the officials holding public positions have no interest in investigating, prosecuting, or preventing election engineering so long as it benefits them, which is precisely why I must beg the federal government to investigate Colorado elections and prosecute all participants in election cheating schemes.

Recommendations

A. State Level Recommendations

1) As a matter of principle and good faith, Tina Peters, the Mesa County Clerk convicted of allowing unauthorized access to voting systems due to her belief in widespread election fraud should be pardoned for her crimes involving voting machines and suspected cheating. She was ultimately correct in her assessment that there was widespread cheating in our elections and her actions were taken in pursuit of ensuring election integrity as opposed to trying to manipulate the system to benefit herself or her political party (trying to secure fairness is not a 'benefit'—it's an *entitlement*). Nine years in prison is far too harsh a penalty for her actions in pursuit of a theory that was ultimately correct and moral (proving election cheating) but pursued in an illegal fashion—literal child molesters often get less time than that. CO SoS Jena Griswold has done far more damage to election security than Tina Peters could ever fathom and Griswold has not faced a single criminal charge over her actions and negligence. Such a double-standard is inappropriate and should not stand. Tina Peters should receive a pardon or a commuted sentence at the bare minimum.

2) New laws should be passed to protect future voting. Mail-in ballots (other than those with legitimate reasons for existing), ballot harvesting, voting machines, and non-manned ballot boxes should be banned from use in all future elections. All election data/documents should be archived and preserved for investigative purposes. Going forward, every single Coloradan should be deregistered and be required to show a valid ID to election officials and have that ID scanned for authenticity to be registered to vote at least once a year. Election officials should encourage observers are present to ensure compliance. Every registered voter should be assigned a number and that number should be affixed to their ballot. There should be two new spreadsheets created every election: (1) The registration information for each registered voter (name, address, etc.) and validation measures taken to ensure they are legally entitled to vote. And; (2) The registration number (without registrant personal information) and a list of measures and how each voter voted on each measure. These separate spreadsheets should be transmitted separately using different secure encryption methods and retained as separate as possible. The second spreadsheet should be released to the public prior to certification of the election, and voters should be notified of how their vote selections were tallied for the count. All ballots should be required to show ID matching the face and name on an authentic ID card to election officials to be accepted, and unobstructive observers should always be authorized for every stage of this process. Unlawful voting or attempted unlawful voting should be 1 year in prison for each attempt to unlawful voting. Knowingly assisting an unlawful voter with casting a ballot should have a 2 year prison sentence. Organized election engineering rackets should be added to the list of predicate causes under the Colorado Organized Crime Control Act. A cause of action for persons negatively impacted by election engineering and a prosecutor's decision not to pursue charges of an election offense that reasonable evidence reveals exists should be created to diminish conflict of interest as much as possible. All prosecutions against organizations alleged to have participated in election fraud should include prison sentences for the individuals

involved without discretion from the prosecutor. The courts should be assigned an explicit responsibility in ensuring plea deals in election cases are not unreasonable. The legislature should provide all funding necessary to sufficiently support these new laws and processes.

3) Election Cheaters that unlawfully voted in the 2020-2024 elections should be identified and prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. The investigation should work with DOJ and seek out all persons involved in the election engineering operation, even if that points to government employees in any capacity. The fastest and most efficient way to identify possible cheating is to audit the ballots of every person that did not vote in 2012 or 2016, but voted in 2020 or 2024. All of those people should be made to report to an election official to show their ID and verify their ballot was cast by them. DOJ should be conducting oversight over this entire process as much as possible. The Legislature should provide all funding necessary to support such an expansive operation.

4) The 2024 Election should be decertified and Special Election should be held in its stead. A Special Election should be held immediately with appropriate election security measures overseen by DOJ & public observers. Voters should be required to show ID to election officials to vote in-person or drop off a ballot. Ballot Harvesting, non-monitored ballot boxes, and voting machines should be prohibited for the Special Election. The Legislature should provide all funding necessary to support this Special Election. A moratorium should be placed against any contentious bills before the General Assembly until the new election is completed and proper state legislators are emplaced across the state.

5) Realize that I'm not an Idiot. The same people benefiting from this election engineering are the people that decide which laws go forth. Some have integrity, but most do not. The ones with no integrity will resist securing our elections and will fight to retain an improperly secured seat. The ones with integrity will have no issues with thoroughly investigating election cheating (even if that cheating benefits them) as much as possible and having another election to decide whether they genuinely won their seat. As nice as it would be to see bipartisan success on improving elections in our state, I do not think that is what will happen. Ultimately, the purpose of this letter is to request that the *federal government* investigate Colorado elections despite the rampant corrupt public officials in our state that allows it to proliferate.

B. Federal Level Recommendations

Federal Recommendations are identical to the state level recommendations (obviously modified for federal relevance) with the primary difference being that every state should be made to allow non-obstructive observers present during all phases of the election process (ballot drop off, ballot counting, transmitting to SoS, etc.). Additionally, the federal government must identify all programs across every federal agency that impacts U.S. elections. All taxpayer money that allegedly vanished without a trace or adequate explanation should be tracked for potential embezzlement to election cheating operations (just off the top of my head I would start Department of Defense, climate change operations, Ukraine funding, homeless assistance programs, & electric vehicle charging funding, but there are likely others). The name of every informant that lives in or visited the United States across every federal agency and their handlers should be copied and cross referenced with the names of any potential election cheaters throughout the country. Organizations that are known to conduct ballot harvesting should have the names of all employees between 2020 and the present seized and that list should also be cross referenced with the list of potential election cheaters. Congress should provide all funding necessary to see through that all measures necessary to investigate and prosecute all election cheaters and secure future elections against political corruption and foreign intrusion. Investigative/prosecutorial discretion over which cases should be investigated/prosecuted or not **MUST** be curtailed to ensure that all election cheating is prosecuted regardless of benefits bestowed

to those in the executive branch vested with that authority and there should be an objective manner in which their decisions can be challenged—unfortunately, the closest thing we have to that is our Nation’s corrupt court system, so that it what should be used. **The Federal Government should immediately inform Colorado of their legal responsibility not to destroy evidence or participate in the destruction of evidence.** All matters identified as occurring in Colorado cited in this letter should be thoroughly investigated by the DOJ to identify the true extent of these issues and to prosecute all election rigging participants within our state. The investigation should be conducted in a manner similar to a RICO investigation, where the chain of lower-level corruption may lead to higher-level entities, which are the true orchestrators of said crimes. Whistleblowers should be sought out and rewarded for providing truthful information when they were not a key figure in the commission of any crimes, and guilty parties that come forth early and voluntarily should be given leniency in their sentences.

Colorado is not nearly as blue as the vote counts indicated, and the highest likelihood is that Colorado is a light blue state or a purple state that occasionally goes red (a swing state). I ask that the federal government keep that in mind when deciding matters that impact our state. I’m biased because I work in defense contracting mostly in aerospace, but when the President wants to move the U.S. Space Command out of Colorado to Alabama, these decisions have an impact on the outlook of the state. (1) As a general matter, states should not be punished by the federal government as retribution for not supporting a particular candidate or policy position—with a few sparse exceptions. (2) Coloradans should not be punished for the decisions of illegitimate hyper-partisan government officials put in place by a rampant—and likely organized--election cheating scheme.

Closing thoughts and Conclusion

A government elected official that claims that our nation’s elections are secure is either a fool or corrupt—There is no in-between. Any government officials that reads this letter and refuses to acknowledge that there is a significant issue within our elections is irredeemably foolish or deeply corrupt. To the Fools, I submit the following: If the elections are as secure as you think they are, then you should have no reservations about implementing a few security improvements and a new election to verify your victory was legitimate—If you truly won fairly, you will win again. You have nothing to lose by approving these measures. To the Corrupt: You are a detriment to the State of Colorado and you should resign from office and never seek office again. To the small group of public servants that recognize that they may be holding their seat due to election cheating in their favor and are willing to fight for change despite that benefit: I applaud you, and the voters of your district should be proud.

The election engineering that is occurring in the United States must be stopped to save us from certain doom. We have a small window of a few years with which we can prevent that decline from occurring over the short and medium run (over the long run, it is inevitable). Should this country not take this chance to fix it, I fear there will not be another opportunity to do so. Election integrity should not be a partisan manner because it is the very foundation of our Constitutional Republic moreso than the Constitution itself—those that decide the interpretation of the Constitution are selected and confirmed by those that benefit from election victories. If our elections are allowed to continue to be rigged, then the voters have a government that we did not consent to, and the Constitution is reduced to a meaningless piece of parchment. To prevent that from happening, I hope our Nation’s public officials can come together to facilitate bipartisan solutions, maximize nonpartisan oversight over election integrity, and investigate/prosecute all election cheaters wherever they hide.

I would greatly appreciate it if you could assist the citizens living within the State of Colorado in resolving this matter permanently.

Very Respectfully,



Michael S. Freeman II

CC: U.S. Department of Justice
U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Colorado
FBI Headquarters
FBI – Denver Division
U.S. Election Assistance Commission
Senate Majority Leader
Speaker of the House
Sen. John Hickenlooper
Sen. Michael Bennet
Committee on House Administration
Rep. Jeff Crank
CO Gov. Jared Polis
CO AG Philip Weiser
CO SoS Jena Griswold
Colorado GOP
Colorado General Assembly Senate President
Colorado General Assembly House Speaker
Republican National Committee

Citations/Footnotes

*Disclaimer, you will notice that a lot of sources on this list are posts on X. This is because legacy media buries these stories and X is the only large platform in which these things can be posted and archived without intrusion. If I were to list out the bias of mainstream news sources in adequate detail it would easily add another 30 pages to this letter, so I will omit examples, but I will maintain there are plenty of examples that could be cited. In the few times where mainstream media does report on these events, their report usually falls into one of two categories: (1) This is no big deal. And/or; (2) Officials said this is not a big deal. Neither of these provide any value to the conversation. For these reasons, many citations are posts on X (usually citing corroborating evidence).

1)

https://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/partner_surveys/jan_2022/covid_19_democratic_voters_support_harsh_messages_against_unvaccinated

2) Impotency in election investigations are often intentional and investigators do not seek the truth when looking into these matters. There is an incentive for investigators to do this as well, as the election cheating often benefits them and identifying and prosecuting a larger network of cheaters is a greater risk than even the most ethical investigators wish to take.

3) <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/2024-election/hoax-bomb-threats-made-dozens-polling-locations-swing-states-rcna178889>

4) <https://apnews.com/article/pennsylvania-voter-registration-investigation-8380686817ed4d4eb16dc303d01b2a76>

5) <https://x.com/jackposobiec/status/1851384000205492309?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

6) https://x.com/cl4ws_out/status/1851420283481309512?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

7) <https://x.com/jackposobiec/status/1851340880038281268?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

8) <https://x.com/joshmckoon/status/1852724565903917386?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

9) <https://x.com/behizytweets/status/1852892066952434098?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

10) <https://x.com/mtgreence/status/1847361255415460148?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

11) <https://x.com/ericldough/status/1856447738482692456?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

12) <https://x.com/5dme81/status/1852354269388685694?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

13) <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2024/dec/05/minnesota-missing-ballots-house-race>

14) <https://x.com/mattwallace888/status/1853815325747204500?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg> (Video from Cambria, PA)

15) https://republicans-cha.house.gov/_cache/files/9/3/933b5275-f713-4036-98ca-73fd32c06b28/64FAF8F1C46ED4FE696C6E1EDBE6F0A1.ca-ballot-harvesting-report-final.pdf

16) https://x.com/real_robn/status/1817710154114339286?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

17) <https://x.com/patrickbyrne/status/1878386770800226384?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

18) I know this from personal experience dealing with judicial scumbags that use a host of ethically and legally degenerate tactics to screw me over in my own lawsuit. See Freeman v. Harris, et al. 1:24-cv-03519 (District of Columbia 2024).

19) <https://x.com/djcalligraphy/status/1442850179871297536?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

20) <https://x.com/michellemalkin/status/1326739418405728256?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

21) <https://nypost.com/2020/11/06/michigan-gop-claims-software-issue-undercounted-trump-votes/>

22) <https://x.com/foramerica/status/1339253940098101248?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg&mx=2>

23) <https://x.com/defiantlyfree/status/1814903760394539433?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

citing <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2024/07/nevada-obama-judge-tosses-gop-lawsuit-seeking-ban/>

24) https://x.com/real_robn/status/1875296297617649809?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

25) https://x.com/rasmussen_poll/status/1766994374754263528?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

26) https://x.com/rasmussen_poll/status/1500061441084305410?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

27) https://x.com/rasmussen_poll/status/1723768373077578102?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

28) https://x.com/Rasmussen_Poll/status/1723324856769409340

29) <https://x.com/ericpraaklen/status/1685645932409282560?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

30) <https://www.abc27.com/pennsylvania/ap-voting-machines-in-one-pennsylvania-county-flip-votes-for-judges-an-error-to-be-fixed-in-tabulation/>

31) <https://aflegal.org/bombshell-documents-america-first-legal-lawsuit-reveals-cisa-knew-about-mail-in-voting-risks-in-2020-while-censoring-related-narratives-as-disinformation/>

32) https://ballotpedia.org/2020_Colorado_legislative_session

33) <https://www.denverpost.com/2024/11/11/colorado-voted-blue-trump-harris/>

34) I could use 2016 election numbers to illustrate the same point, but opted to use 2012 because it depicted the total pool of potential Republican voters more effectively. As stated earlier in the letter, a large gain is possibly genuine, but it is normally accompanied by a drop in votes for one party (Trump lost about ~10k votes between 2012 GOP candidate and 2016, and Clinton gained ~30k), and this effect is seen in the 2016 election data compared to 2012, but the 2020 election defies this trend with both candidates gaining lots of votes with the vast majority of those going to the Democrat. While 2016 data is less dramatic than the 2012-2020 shift, the underlying point I'm making is not altered.

35) Just because the population rises by a certain amount doesn't mean that these are all eligible voters. For various reasons, some newer residents are unable to vote (some are children, in the country illegally, listed as a resident but don't actually live there, etc.). Some newer residents simply choose not to vote. Therefore, the amount of new eligible voters is less than the given population increase.

36) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pjIpSxEqhiA> (Griswold's interview immediately following Trump's Supreme Court win against her). It doesn't take a psychiatrist to see that she is on the verge of tears in this interview. This is a highly unprofessional and unwarranted reaction for an elected official that is supposed to protect free and fair elections within our state. Griswold is a political activist and not a secretary of state.

37) <https://x.com/heidiganahl/status/1846897579457396761?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

38) <https://rockymountainvoice.com/2024/09/not-the-gold-standard-a-bit-of-fools-gold-ganahl-finds-areas-of-election-concern-which-must-be-addressed-before-nov-5/>

39) <https://coloradonewslines.com/2024/10/24/fraudulent-ballots-mesa-county-colorado/>

40) <https://x.com/behizytweets/status/1823109450263380208?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

- 41) https://x.com/from_colorado/status/1763740160187945238?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg
- 42) <https://x.com/watchingdaisies/status/1873744625787351170?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>
- 43) <https://x.com/peterbernegger/status/1847634184984244299?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>
- 44) <https://x.com/hillhound1/status/1846942999760327090?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>
- 45) <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2024/07/colorado-county-clerk-shocking-feature-dominion-imagecast-central/>

46) While presumed to be objective and neutral, but like most conflicts of interest; Third Party Investigators are likely to be influenced by who is paying them to produce the report. The firm acquired to review the password leak was Baird Quinn LLC. The subfirm hired for computer forensics consulting was ArcherHall. Federal Election Commission (FEC) filings show that the chief investigator at Baird Quinn, Beth Doherty Quinn made several relatively small donations to ActBlue, a Democrat campaign fundraising organization. Colorado state election records also indicate a history of Quinn supporting Democrat candidates and causes with moderate donations. FEC filings show that one of the Expert Witnesses and Manager of Digital Forensics at ArcherHall, Brian Chase also has a history of donating to ActBlue. A donation to a partisan cause is not a sole determinant of bias, but it is a potential one. That being said, I've conducted a hasty review of the final report and based on that review it does appear to be written in an objective manner and no significant red flags of bias become immediately apparent to me— however, it should be noted that I am unable to validate the report any further than a superficial hasty analysis. For example, it's not as if I have video recordings of the interviews to confirm that there were no significant omissions made by the investigator or that the investigator or Legal Policy Advisor was not guiding the interviewees to safer answers during questioning as often happens in coverups. For these reasons, I cannot refute nor prescribe much value to this third-party report.

47) *Colo. Rev. Stat. § 1-1-103(1) & (3)*

Citations/Footnotes

*Disclaimer, you will notice that a lot of sources on this list are posts on X. This is because legacy media buries these stories and X is the only large platform in which these things can be posted and archived without intrusion. If I were to list out the bias of mainstream news sources in adequate detail it would easily add another 30 pages to this letter, so I will omit examples, but I will maintain there are plenty of examples that could be cited. In the few times where mainstream media does report on these events, their report usually falls into one of two categories: (1) This is no big deal. And/or; (2) Officials said this is not a big deal. Neither of these provide any value to the conversation. For these reasons, many citations are posts on X (usually citing corroborating evidence).

1)

https://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/partner_surveys/jan_2022/covid_19_democratic_voters_support_harsh_messages_against_unvaccinated

2) Impotency in election investigations are often intentional and investigators do not seek the truth when looking into these matters. There is an incentive for investigators to do this as well, as the election cheating often benefits them and identifying and prosecuting a larger network of cheaters is a greater risk than even the most ethical investigators wish to take.

3) <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/2024-election/hoax-bomb-threats-made-dozens-polling-locations-swing-states-rcna178889>

4) <https://apnews.com/article/pennsylvania-voter-registration-investigation-8380686817ed4d4eb16dc303d01b2a76>

5) <https://x.com/jackposobiec/status/1851384000205492309?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

6) https://x.com/cl4ws_out/status/1851420283481309512?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

7) <https://x.com/jackposobiec/status/1851340880038281268?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

8) <https://x.com/joshmckoon/status/1852724565903917386?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

9) <https://x.com/behizytweets/status/1852892066952434098?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

10) <https://x.com/mtgreence/status/1847361255415460148?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

11) <https://x.com/ericldough/status/1856447738482692456?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

12) <https://x.com/5dme81/status/1852354269388685694?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

13) <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2024/dec/05/minnesota-missing-ballots-house-race>

14) <https://x.com/mattwallace888/status/1853815325747204500?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg> (Video from Cambria, PA)

15) https://republicans-cha.house.gov/_cache/files/9/3/933b5275-f713-4036-98ca-73fd32c06b28/64FAF8F1C46ED4FE696C6E1EDBE6F0A1.ca-ballot-harvesting-report-final.pdf

16) https://x.com/real_robn/status/1817710154114339286?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

17) <https://x.com/patrickbyrne/status/1878386770800226384?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

18) I know this from personal experience dealing with judicial scumbags that use a host of ethically and legally degenerate tactics to screw me over in my own lawsuit. See Freeman v. Harris, et al. 1:24-cv-03519 (District of Columbia 2024).

19) <https://x.com/djcalligraphy/status/1442850179871297536?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

20) <https://x.com/michellemalkin/status/1326739418405728256?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

21) <https://nypost.com/2020/11/06/michigan-gop-claims-software-issue-undercounted-trump-votes/>

22) <https://x.com/foramerica/status/1339253940098101248?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg&mx=2>

23) <https://x.com/defiantlyfree/status/1814903760394539433?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

citing <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2024/07/nevada-obama-judge-tosses-gop-lawsuit-seeking-ban/>

24) https://x.com/real_robn/status/1875296297617649809?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

25) https://x.com/rasmussen_poll/status/1766994374754263528?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

26) https://x.com/rasmussen_poll/status/1500061441084305410?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

27) https://x.com/rasmussen_poll/status/1723768373077578102?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg

28) https://x.com/Rasmussen_Poll/status/1723324856769409340

29) <https://x.com/ericSpracklen/status/1685645932409282560?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

30) <https://www.abc27.com/pennsylvania/ap-voting-machines-in-one-pennsylvania-county-flip-votes-for-judges-an-error-to-be-fixed-in-tabulation/>

31) <https://aflegal.org/bombshell-documents-america-first-legal-lawsuit-reveals-cisa-knew-about-mail-in-voting-risks-in-2020-while-censoring-related-narratives-as-disinformation/>

32) https://ballotpedia.org/2020_Colorado_legislative_session

33) <https://www.denverpost.com/2024/11/11/colorado-voted-blue-trump-harris/>

34) I could use 2016 election numbers to illustrate the same point, but opted to use 2012 because it depicted the total pool of potential Republican voters more effectively. As stated earlier in the letter, a large gain is possibly genuine, but it is normally accompanied by a drop in votes for one party (Trump lost about ~10k votes between 2012 GOP candidate and 2016, and Clinton gained ~30k), and this effect is seen in the 2016 election data compared to 2012, but the 2020 election defies this trend with both candidates gaining lots of votes with the vast majority of those going to the Democrat. While 2016 data is less dramatic than the 2012-2020 shift, the underlying point I'm making is not altered.

35) Just because the population rises by a certain amount doesn't mean that these are all eligible voters. For various reasons, some newer residents are unable to vote (some are children, in the country illegally, listed as a resident but don't actually live there, etc.). Some newer residents simply choose not to vote. Therefore, the amount of new eligible voters is less than the given population increase.

36) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pjIpSxEqhiA> (Griswold's interview immediately following Trump's Supreme Court win against her). It doesn't take a psychiatrist to see that she is on the verge of tears in this interview. This is a highly unprofessional and unwarranted reaction for an elected official that is supposed to protect free and fair elections within our state. Griswold is a political activist and not a secretary of state.

37) <https://x.com/heidiganahl/status/1846897579457396761?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

38) <https://rockymountainvoice.com/2024/09/not-the-gold-standard-a-bit-of-fools-gold-ganahl-finds-areas-of-election-concern-which-must-be-addressed-before-nov-5/>

39) <https://coloradonewsline.com/2024/10/24/fraudulent-ballots-mesa-county-colorado/>

40) <https://x.com/behizytweets/status/1823109450263380208?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>

- 41) https://x.com/from_colorado/status/1763740160187945238?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg
- 42) <https://x.com/watchingdaisies/status/1873744625787351170?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>
- 43) <https://x.com/peterbernegger/status/1847634184984244299?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>
- 44) <https://x.com/hillhound1/status/1846942999760327090?s=46&t=KsTvYwO7POw2gTHB6rjEeg>
- 45) <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2024/07/colorado-county-clerk-shocking-feature-dominion-imagecast-central/>

46) While presumed to be objective and neutral, but like most conflicts of interest; Third Party Investigators are likely to be influenced by who is paying them to produce the report. The firm acquired to review the password leak was Baird Quinn LLC. The subfirm hired for computer forensics consulting was ArcherHall. Federal Election Commission (FEC) filings show that the chief investigator at Baird Quinn, Beth Doherty Quinn made several relatively small donations to ActBlue, a Democrat campaign fundraising organization. Colorado state election records also indicate a history of Quinn supporting Democrat candidates and causes with moderate donations. FEC filings show that one of the Expert Witnesses and Manager of Digital Forensics at ArcherHall, Brian Chase also has a history of donating to ActBlue. A donation to a partisan cause is not a sole determinant of bias, but it is a potential one. That being said, I've conducted a hasty review of the final report and based on that review it does appear to be written in an objective manner and no significant red flags of bias become immediately apparent to me— however, it should be noted that I am unable to validate the report any further than a superficial hasty analysis. For example, it's not as if I have video recordings of the interviews to confirm that there were no significant omissions made by the investigator or that the investigator or Legal Policy Advisor was not guiding the interviewees to safer answers during questioning as often happens in coverups. For these reasons, I cannot refute nor prescribe much value to this third-party report.

47) *Colo. Rev. Stat. § 1-1-103(1) & (3)*