- 3. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in Paragraph 3.
- 4. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in Paragraph 4.
- 5. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in Paragraph 5.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in Paragraph 6.
- 7. LandArizona denies HT4's allegation in Paragraph 7, i.e., that the parties entered into a contract on July 25, 2023. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that, as the plaintiff's own Exhibit B attached to its Complaint states, the three "Proposals" submitted to LandArizona by "Boomer Schlesener" are all dated "07/05/2023" and contain a signature by "James Kunisch" as "Mgr" [manager] for the defendant, with a "Date of Acceptance 07-06-2023". LandArizona otherwise admits that the parties entered into a contract consisting of the three "Proposals" and that the plaintiff's Exhibit B contains accurate copies of the Proposals.
- 8. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 8, that HT4 began performance of the work described in the Proposals on July 5, 2023. LandArizona affirmatively states that, to the contrary, HT4's own twenty-day preliminary notice attached to the plaintiff's complaint as Exhibit C, states: "Date [on which] Claimant first provided said labor, services, equipment or materials: 07/13/2013". LandArizona affirmatively alleges that the plaintiff began work on the project for the first time on July 13, 2023, in the form of delivering tractors and machinery to the jobsite and beginning to move dirt.

- 9. LandArizona denies HT4's allegation in Paragraph 9, that the plaintiff's twenty-day preliminary notice was physically served upon LandArizona on July 25, 2023. LandArizona admits that, per the copy of the preliminary notice, affidavit of service, and U.S. Postal Service certificate of mailing, the plaintiff deposited the preliminary notice in the U.S. mails on July 25, 2023. LandArizona admits the remainder of HT4 allegations in Paragraph 9.
  - 10. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 10.
  - 11. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 11.
- 12. With respect to HT4's allegations in Paragraph 12, LandArizona admits that HT4 provided to LandArizona a written request for additional payment, and that such document is contained in the plaintiff's Exhibit D attached to its complaint. LandArizona denies that the plaintiff transmitted that request for payment to LandArizona on October 14, 2023, or that the document was entitled "Invoice" or contained content that is customary and normal for an invoice in the Arizona construction contracting industry. LandArizona denies that the work described in the plaintiff's Exhibit D constitutes "extra work". LandArizona affirmatively states that the plaintiff transmitted by email the written request for additional payment in the amount of \$20,245.70 for the first time on October 16, 2023, and that the plaintiff entitled the document "Final Bill 1". LandArizona affirmatively alleges that all work described in the "Final Bill 1" was for work included in the original Proposals and did not constitute "extra work". LandArizona affirmatively alleges that the progress payment invoices that the plaintiff had previously transmitted to LandArizona did not include any reference to extra work or extra charges.

2.7

- 13. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 13.
- 14. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in paragraph 14.

## **COUNT ONE** (Breach of Contract)

- 15. LandArizona incorporates and re-alleges its prior answers set forth above as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. LandArizona admits that it refused to pay HT4's demand for \$20,245.70, which HT4 transmitted to LandArizona on October 16, 2023. LandArizona denies the entirety of the remaining allegations of HT4 in Paragraph 16.
- 17. As to HT4's allegations in Paragraph 17, LandArizona denies that the plaintiff served LandArizona with a "Notice of Intent to File a Bond Claim, Mechanic's Lien or Stop Notice" ("Notice of Intent") on October 30, 2023. The plaintiff's Notice of Intent, attached to its complaint as Exhibit E, states that it was placed in the mail on October 30, 2023. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that a Notice of Intent is not a statutorily-created instrument and does not have service definitions, such as placement in the U.S. mails constituting service upon a recipient, in contrast with the service definitions for Arizona's statutorily-created twenty-day preliminary notices. LandArizona further affirmatively alleges that the Notice of Intent does not have any statutory basis or legal consequence, and that it is no different from a non-statutory demand letter.
- 18. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 18 to the extent that HT4 alleges that any additional amounts were due from LandArizona after LandArizona made the October 12, 2023 direct deposit to the plaintiff in the amount of \$25,010.34,

and after those funds fully transmitted into the plaintiff's bank account, thereby converting HT4's execution of a conditional waiver and release on final payment, into an unconditional waiver and release on final payment. *See* A.R.S. § 33-1008(D). LandArizona admits that, despite the absence of entitlement to any additional payment, the plaintiff nevertheless made multiple demands upon LandArizona for payment of \$20,245.70.

- 19. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 19 in full.
- 20. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 20 in full.
- 21. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 21 in full.

# **COUNT TWO** (Prompt Payment Violation)

- 22. LandArizona re-alleges its answers to the paragraphs set forth above and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 23. LandArizona denies that it received a document entitled "Extra Work Invoice". LandArizona denies that any work or materials described in the document entitled "Final Bill 1" that the plaintiff emailed to LandArizona on October 16 contained descriptions of any labor, equipment use, or materials that were outside the scope of the original three Proposals submitted to LandArizona on July 5 and signed by LandArizona on July 6, 2023, or constituted "extra work". LandArizona admits that it did not respond in writing within fourteen days following receipt of HT4's email on October 16, 2023. LandArizona denies that it had any obligation to respond to the October 16, 2023, email by HT4 because LandArizona's payment obligations under the Proposals were complete, and because there was an accord and satisfaction as to any other payments amounts

1 alleged by HT4 to be due under the Proposals. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that 2 3 4 6 7 9 10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

payment of the three Proposals was complete, and therefore the Arizona Prompt Pay Act was no longer applicable, with no additional amounts due from LandArizona. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that this is due, in part, to LandArizona's October 12, 2023 direct deposit into the plaintiff's bank account in the amount of \$25, 010.34, followed by the transmittal of those funds into the plaintiff's bank account, thereby converting HT4's execution of a conditional waiver and release on final payment into an unconditional waiver and release on final payment. See A.R.S. § 33-1008(D). Accordingly, LandArizona submits that its payment of \$25,010.34 constituted a final payment under A.R.S. § 32-1182(A), and therefore the plaintiff's "Final Bill 1" was not entitled to the protections of the Arizona Prompt Pay Act.

- 24. LandArizona admits, as to HT4's allegations in Paragraph 24, that LandArizona has not paid to the plaintiff the \$20,245.70 that the plaintiff has demanded, for the reasons stated in LandArizona's answer. LandArizona denies that it is "withholding" payment from the plaintiff, which falsely suggests that LandArizona owes any further monies to the plaintiff; LandArizona does not.
  - 25. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 25 in full.
- 26. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 26 in full. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that the Arizona Prompt Pay Act has no relevance to this action, for the reason that, after LandArizona made the October 12, 2023 direct deposit to the plaintiff in the amount of \$25,010.34, and after those funds fully transmitted into the plaintiff's bank account, HT4's execution of a conditional waiver

and release on final payment converted into an unconditional waiver and release on final payment. See A.R.S. § 33-1008(D). Accordingly, LandArizona submits that its payment of \$25,010.34 constituted a final payment under A.R.S. § 32-1182(A), and that the plaintiff's "Final Bill 1" was not entitled to the protections of the Arizona Prompt Pay Act. LandArizona also affirmatively states that the plaintiff, by citing to A.R.S. § 32-1129.01(K), is improperly basing its claim upon the old version of the Arizona Prompt Pay Act, instead of the new version found at A.R.S. § 32-1181, et seq.

27. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 27 in full. LandArizona affirmatively states that the only remedy which the Arizona Prompt Pay Act provides is the award of interest of eighteen percent (18%) per annum, and that such award of interest is available only with respect to amounts which LandArizona is adjudged to owe to the plaintiff. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that, since LandArizona owes nothing to the plaintiff, the Arizona Prompt Pay Act does not provide for any assessment of interest and is not relevant to this action.

## **COUNT THREE** (Unjust Enrichment)

- 28. LandArizona re-alleges the allegations set forth above and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 29. LandArizona denies that it made any request whatsoever of the plaintiff as part of any form of change order, including a written and signed change order, or as part of any deviation from, alteration of, or addition to the work scope in the three Proposals, or that the plaintiff supplied any labor, rental equipment, or materials beyond what was included in the work scope of the Proposals and therefore already required to be

performed and delivered pursuant to the Proposals. LandArizona denies that the labor, rental equipment, materials or other components of the "Final Bill 1" transmitted to LandArizona on October 16, 2023, are entitled to a valuation outside of the total charges of the Proposals, as resolved by LandArizona's final payment of \$25,010.34 in exchange for HT4's conditional waiver and release on final payment of that same amount. In the event of a separate valuation of any labor, rental equipment, or materials described in the "Final Bill 1" that were supplied to the project by the plaintiff, LandArizona is without sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth of HT4's allegation of valuation in Paragraph 29, and therefore denies same.

30. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 30 that LandArizona failed to pay to the plaintiff the "full value of the labor, equipment, materials and supplies". LandArizona affirmatively alleges that it fully paid for any items described in the "Final Bill 1" that were actually supplied to LandArizona's construction site, as part of LandArizona's two progress payments and final payment to HT4 for the three Proposals, with HT4 accepting the \$25,010.34 in exchange for a conditional waiver and release on final payment. LandArizona admits that the items in the "Final Bill 1" that were delivered to the site had some value, but affirmatively alleges that LandArizona paid for that value as part of its payment for the three Proposals. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that, because the items in the "Final Bill 1" were included in the scope of work in the Proposals, the rule of law in Arizona values those items at the agreed-upon contract amount: "[W]hile the contract price between the subcontractor and the contractor is not binding upon the owner, the agreed price nevertheless may be taken

as prima facie the reasonable value of the materials." *Lenslite Co. v. Zocher*, 95 Ariz. 208, 213, 388 P.2d 421 (1964) (citing *Lanier v. Lovett*, 25 Ariz. 54, 213 P. 391 (1923)).

- 31. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 31 in full. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that the remedy of unjust enrichment is not available to the plaintiff where remedies at law, in this case the parties' contract, are available: "[a]n unjust enrichment claim requires proof of five elements: [...] (5) the absence of a remedy provided by law." *Wang Elec., Inc., v. Smoke Tree Resort*, LLC, 230 Ariz. 314, 318 (Ct. App. 2012). LandArizona affirmatively alleges that, because it and HT4 entered into three enforceable contracts in the form of the three July 5, 2023 Proposals, which LandArizona signed July 6, 2023, the plaintiff cannot pursue an unjust enrichment claim: "[only] [i]f a party performs work, renders services, or expends money under an agreement which is unenforceable, but not illegal, he may recover in quantum meruit..." *Ruck Corp. v. Woudenberg*, 125 Ariz. 519, 611 P.2d 106, 109 (App. 1990).
  - 32. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 32 in full.

## **COUNT FOUR**(Mechanic's Lien Foreclosure and Judgment for Deficiency)

- 33. LandArizona re-alleges its answers to the paragraphs set forth above and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth at length.
  - 34. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in Paragraph 34.
  - 35. LandArizona admits HT4's allegations in Paragraph 35.
- 36. LandArizona denies HT4's allegations in Paragraph 36 in full. LandArizona affirmatively alleges that there was no payment due by LandArizona to HT4 that could form the basis of a valid mechanic's lien under Arizona law.

1 LandArizona further affirmatively alleges that, after LandArizona made the October 12, 2 2023 direct deposit to the plaintiff in the amount of \$25,010.34, and after those funds 3 fully transmitted into the plaintiff's bank account, HT4's execution on October 12, 2023, 4 of a conditional waiver and release on final payment converted into an unconditional 5 6 waiver and release on final payment. See A.R.S. § 33-1008(D). 7 LandArizona affirmatively alleges that its payment of \$25,010.34 constituted both a final payment and an accord and satisfaction under A.R.S. § 33-1008(D). Accordingly, as 9 LandArizona informed counsel for HT4 by correspondence dated May 24, 2024, HT4 10 11 violated A.R.S. § 33-420 with its mechanic's lien and its notice of *lis pendens*, recorded 12 with the La Paz County Recorder on November 21, 2023, and May 16, 2024, 13 respectively, and has incurred two separate statutory penalties of \$5,000 per the two 14 separate violations of the false claims statute. 15

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES PRESERVED AS TO ALL COUNTS

Accordingly,

LandArizona asserts and thereby preserves the following affirmative defenses to HT4's claims to the extent necessary to defend against any compromise or diminution by HT4 of claims or interests of LandArizona:

- a. Ambiguity/Vagueness
- b. Accord and satisfaction
- c. Fraud in the inducement of an accord and satisfaction
- d. Collateral estoppel;
- e. Equitable estoppel;
- f. Consent;

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

- g. Ratification;
- h. Novation;
- Statutory compliance and limitation on liability;
- j. Statute of Frauds;
- k. Waiver;
- 1. Failure to mitigate damages;

1	m. Election of remedies;
2	<ul><li>n. Intervening/Superseding cause;</li><li>o. Lack of duty;</li></ul>
3	p. Laches;
4	<ul><li>q. Unclean hands;</li><li>r. Spoliation of evidence;</li></ul>
5	s. Prevention and frustration of performance;
6	t. Punitive damages for fraud in the inducement of an accord and satisfaction
7	<ul> <li>without waiving objections, any additional affirmative defenses which become apparent during the course of discovery in this matter.</li> </ul>
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
10	WHEREFORE, the Defendant LandArizona/JAK, LLC, respectfully requests tha
11	this Court enter relief in favor of LandArizona and against Rodney Ellwood Schlesener
12	dba HT4, as follows:
13	A Denning off molicity and the state of the
14	A. Denying all relief requested by the plaintiff in their Complaint agains
15	LandArizona, and ordering that the plaintiff take nothing thereby;
16	B. Awarding to LandArizona its cost and attorney fees for a successful defense
17	against the claims of the plaintiff, pursuant to any available contractua
18	provision, A.R.S. §§ 12-341, 341.01(A), 349-350, and A.R.S. §§ 33-995(E
19	
20	and 998(B), along with statutory interest thereon;
21	C. For an order entering such other and further relief in favor of LandArizona, as
22	this Court deems just and appropriate.
23	<u>COUNTERCLAIM</u>
24	COUNTERCLAIM
25	As and for their Amended Counterclaim, Defendant alleges as follows:
26	
27	
28	

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. At all times relevant hereto, Counterclaimant LandArizona/JAK LLC ("LandArizona") was an Arizona limited liability company and conducted business in La Paz County, Arizona.
- 2. At all times relevant hereto, based on information and belief formed by Paragraph 1 of the complaint filed herein, Counterdefendant Rodney Ellwood Schlesener, dba HT4 ("HT4"), was an Arizona limited liability company with his principal place of business in Graham County, Arizona, and who conducted business in La Paz County, Arizona.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. The events in this matter occurred in La Paz County, Arizona.
- 4. Counterdefendant HT4 committed acts, omissions, or caused events to occur within La Paz County.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this controversy pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-123 and Article VI § 14 of the Arizona Constitution.
- 6. Under Rule 26.2(c)(3) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, damages and the number of documents are such as to qualify for Tier 1 assignment.
  - 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

8. On or about July 5, 2023, HT4 submitted to LandArizona three proposals for construction work in the total amount of \$73,990.05 ("Proposals"). Exhibit A hereto.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	ĺ

9. On August 18 and September 14, 2023, LandArizona made two progress payments in the amount of \$20,000 and \$23,000, respectively, totaling \$43,000.00.

10. On October 12, 2023, HT4 presented to LandArizona a document entitled "Conditional Waiver and Release on Final Payment" for payment of the amount of \$25,010.34 ("Conditional Final"). See Exhibit B hereto.

11. The Conditional Final stated, in all bold and capitalized letters:

NOTICE: THIS DOCUMENT WAIVES THE CLAIMANT'S LIEN, STOP PAYMENT NOTICE, AND PAYMENT BOND RIGHTS EFFECTIVE UPON RECEIPT OF PAYMENT. A PERSON SHOULD NOT RELY ON THIS DOCUMENT UNLESS SATISFIED THAT THE CLAIMANT HAS RECEIVED THE PAYMENT.

See Ex. B.

12. The Conditional Final further states:

#### **Conditional Waiver and Release**

This document waives and releases lien, stop payment notice, and payment bond rights the claimant has for labor and service provided, and equipment and material provided, to the customer on this job. Rights based on labor or service provided, and equipment and material delivered, to the customer on this job. Rights based upon labor or service provided, or equipment or material delivered, pursuant to a written change order that has been fully executed by the parties prior to the date that this document is signed by the claimant, are waived and released by this document, unless listed as an exception below. This document is effective only upon the claimant's receipt of payment from the financial institution on which the following check is drawn:

Maker of Check: LandArizona/JAKLLC

Amount of Check: \$25,010.34

Check payable to: HT4

See Ex. B.

28

1	13.	The Conditional Final further states:
2		Exceptions:
3		This document does not affect any of the following:
4		Disputed claims for extras in the amount of \$ [left blank]
5	See Ex. B.	
6		
7	14.	The Conditional Final was signed as follows:
8		Signature Claimant's Signature: [Rodney E. Schlesener]
9		Claimant's Signature. [Rouney L. Semescher] Claimant's Title: Owner [with owner written in also] Date of Signature: 10/11/2023
11	See Ex. B.	
12		HTA due to do and expedied to Lond Animone the Conditional Final
13	15.	HT4 drafted and supplied to LandArizona the Conditional Final.
14	16.	Also on October 12, 2023, after receiving the conditional release,
15	LandArizona	paid to HT4 the amount of \$25,010.34, by way of a direct deposit that
16	LandArizona	made directly to HT4's bank. See Exhibit C hereto.
17	17.	HT4 thereafter received the funds into its account by successful bank
18	transfer.	
19		
20	18.	Upon the successful transfer of LandArizona's funds into the account of
21	HT4, the con	ditional release on final payment, by its language and by the operation of
22	A.R.S. § 33-	1008(D)(3), converted into an unconditional waiver and release on final
23	payment.	
24		
25	19.	The total of the three payments in the amount of \$68,010.34 constituted
26	LandArizona	's full performance under the contract.
27	20.	Prior to HT4's provision of the conditional waiver and release on final
28		

payment, HT4, on or about September 25, 2023, demanded payment from LandArizona in the amount of \$30,990.04 for labor and materials supplied to the project, referring to the amount as the "Grand Total Due".

- 21. On or about October 1, 2023, HT4 emailed to LandArizona: "I need to know if my *final payment per contract and invoice* is \$30,990.04." (emphasis added).
- 22. On or about October 5, 2023, HT4 transmitted to LandArizona a conditional waiver and release on final payment of \$30,990.04, using the same form that HT4 later prepared and transmitted to LandArizona for the \$25,010.34 payment.
- 23. On or about October 11, 2023, LandArizona transmitted a two-page letter to HT4, stating that LandArizona had offsets in the amount of \$5,979.70 incurred for purchasing road material due to a shortfall under the contract by HT4, and to pay HT4's waterline worker.
- 24. HT4's demand for \$30,990.04 and LandArizona's demand for an offset of \$5,979.70 constituted a bona fide dispute as to the amount of the final payment under A.R.S. § 33-1008(D).
- 25. HT4 thereupon agreed to LandArizona's claim of offset and deducted the amount of \$5,979.70 from HT4's demand for \$30,990.04 as final payment and transmitted to LandArizona the Conditional Final for payment of \$25,010.35.
- 26. The final payment by LandArizona \$25,010.34 in exchange for a conditional release on final payment for the same amount of \$25,010.34 constituted an

accord and satisfaction between LandArizona and HT4.

- 27. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 33-1008(C), any failure of a conditional waiver and release on final payment to substantially follow the form set forth at A.R.S. § 33-1008(D)(3) "does not affect the enforceability of ... an accord and satisfaction regarding a bona fide dispute..."
- 28. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 33-1008(D), the conditional waiver and release which HT4 drafted and provided to LandArizona follows substantially the form and circumstances found at A.R.S. § 33-1008(D)(3).
- 29. As a result of HT4 presenting the Conditional Final to LandArizona, followed by LandArizona signing the Conditional Final and making payment as required by its language, followed by HT4 receiving the funds, HT4 lost all rights to claim any form of mechanic's lien on the project.
- 30. HT4 also lost such rights because LandArizona paid the contract between the parties in full per the terms and language of the Conditional Final.
- 31. HT4 also lost such rights because LandArizona's payment of \$25,010.34 and HT4's acceptance of that payment after agreeing to LandArizona's demand for a reduction of HT4's demand for \$30,990.04 by the offset amount of \$5,979.70 constituted an accord and satisfaction.
- 32. Nevertheless, on or about October 14, 2023, HT4 demanded payment of an additional \$20,245.70 with a document it called "Final Bill 1".
- 33. The October 14 "Final Bill 1" is a catch-all of amounts that HT4 claimed to be due after the parties, on October 12, 2023, resolved the amounts due on the project.

- 34. The items appearing on the "Final Bill 1" were included in the work scope of the original three Proposals.
- 35. The three signed Proposals each stated: "Any alteration or deviation from above specifications involving extra costs will be executed only upon written order and will become an extra charge over and above the estimate." Exhibit A hereto.
- 36. LandArizona did not agree to or pre-approve any alteration or deviation from the Proposals, whether orally or in writing.
- 37. For an alteration or deviation from the Proposals to occur, the Proposals required that LandArizona sign and date its acceptance of a written alteration of the Proposals.
- 38. There were no written change orders or other form of written alteration or addition to the Proposals.
- 39. LandArizona did not sign any written change orders or other form of written alteration or addition to the Proposals.
- 40. Accordingly, the entire amount of \$20,245.70 which HT4 claims in its mechanic's lien claim and in this action, even if not barred by the conversion of the conditional waiver and release on final payment into an unconditional waiver and release on final payment, would be unrecoverable as a result of not following the contractual pre-approval requirements for any labor or materials supplied pursuant to the "Final Bill 1" dated October 14, 2023.

### 

41.

the same herein as though fully set forth at length.

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

### 

## COUNTERCLAIM COUNT I (Declaratory Judgment)

- LandArizona re-alleges the allegations set forth above and incorporates
- 42. This Court has jurisdiction to enter declaratory judgment relief pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1831 ("Courts of record within their respective jurisdictions shall have power to declare rights, status, and other legal relations whether or not further relief is or could be claimed.").
- 43. Based on the facts alleged in the General Allegations of LandArizona's Counterclaim, HT4's "Conditional Waiver and Release on Final Payment" for payment of the amount of \$25,010.34 converted into an unconditional final waiver and release.
- 44. Due to that conversion of HT4's conditional waiver and release on final payment into an unconditional waiver and release on final payment, HT4 relinquished all mechanic's lien rights against LandArizona's real property.
- 45. Because HT4 relinquished those mechanic's lien rights against LandArizona's property, HT4, on November 21, 2023, recorded an invalid mechanic's lien.
- 46. Based on the General Allegations of LandArizona's Counterclaim, LandArizona and HT4 entered into an accord and satisfaction as to all remaining claims by HT4 for work, equipment, and materials supplied to LandArizona's construction project based on the three Proposals.
- 47. As a result of the accord and satisfaction, HT4 relinquished all mechanic's lien rights against LandArizona's real property.

- 48. As a result of such relinquishment of mechanic's lien rights, HT4, on November 21, 2023, recorded an invalid mechanic's lien with the La Paz County Recorder.
- 49. As a result of HT4's mechanic's lien being invalid, HT4's mechanic's lien foreclosure lawsuit filed May 16, 2024, is groundless.
- 50. As a result of filing a groundless mechanic's lien foreclosure lawsuit, HT4's notice of *lis pendens* recorded with the La Paz County Recorder on May 16, 2024, is groundless and null and void.
- 51. Because of LandArizona's three payments to HT4 in the amount of \$68,010.34, and in light of the parties' accord and satisfaction, LandArizona fully performed under the three Proposals which HT4 submitted on July 5, 2023, and which LandArizona signed on July 6, 2023, and therefore no further contract obligations remain for LandArizona to perform.

WHEREFORE, the counterclaimant LandArizona/JAK, LLC, respectfully requests that this Court enter relief in favor of LandArizona and against the counterdefendant Rodney Ellwood Schlesener, dba HT4, in the form of a declaratory judgment that rules as follows:

- A. LandArizona and HT4 entered into an accord and satisfaction on October 12,2023, as to all disputes between them;
- B. HT4, on November 21, 2023, recorded with the La Paz County Recorder an invalid Notice and Claims of Mechanic's Lien;

- C. HT4, on May 16, 2024, filed with the La Paz County Superior Court a groundless mechanic's lien foreclosure action against the real property of LandArizona;
- D. HT4, on May 16, 2024, recorded with the La Paz County Recorder a groundless notice of *lis pendens*;
- E. HT4, by recording its Notice and Claim of Mechanic's Lien and its Notice of *Lis Pendens*, violated A.R.S. § 33-420 and is liable for the penalties set forth therein;
- F. Awarding to LandArizona its costs and attorney fees as a prevailing party pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 33-420(A) and (C), A.R.S. §§ 12-341, 341.01(A), 349-350, and A.R.S. §§ 33-995(E) and 998(B), along with statutory interest thereon; and
- G. For an order entering such other and further relief in favor of LandArizona and against HT4 as this Court deems just and appropriate.

# **COUNT II**(Fraud – in the alternative to Counterclaim Count I)

- 52. LandArizona re-alleges the allegations set forth above and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 53. HT4 made representations to LandArizona that HT4 was of the belief and in agreement that LandArizona's payment of \$25,010.34 would be the final payment by LandArizona to HT4 with respect to the construction labor, equipment, and materials that HT4 supplied to LandArizona's construction site.

- 54. HT4 made such representations in the form of oral statements, issuing and signed the Conditional Final, drafting and delivering to LandArizona the conditional release on final payment of \$25,010.34, and accepting LandArizona's final check for \$25,010.34.
- 55. HT4's representations were false, in that HT4 withheld its intention to demand payment from LandArizona for additional sums after delivering the signed Conditional Final to LandArizona and accepting LandArizona's final check.
- 56. HT4 had knowledge of the falsity of its statements because it knew that it did not intend to stand by its representations that LandArizona's payment of \$25,010.34 constituted the final payment to HT4 for HT4's labor, equipment, and materials supplied to the project.
- 57. HT4's misrepresentations were material to LandArizona's decision to enter into the accord and satisfaction agreement to exchange a final payment of \$25,010.34 for HT4's signed Conditional Final, because LandArizona would not have paid \$25,010.34 to HT4 if LandArizona knew that HT4 thereafter intended to demand an additional \$20,245.70 from LandArizona or else record a mechanic's lien for that amount against LandArizona's property.
- 58. HT4 intended that LandArizona would act upon its representations by paying the \$25,010.34 to HT4, which in turn constituted an action by LandArizona in reliance upon HT4's representations, with LandArizona's reliance reasonably contemplated and intended by HT4.

- 59. LandArizona was ignorant of the falsity of HT4's representations, i.e., that HT4 considered LandArizona's payment to HT4 of \$25,010.34 to constitute LandArizona's final payment to HT4.
- 60. LandArizona relied upon the truth of HT4's representations by paying to HT4 the amount of \$25,010.34.
- 61. LandArizona had a right to rely upon those representations by HT4, as they were not the result of any unconscionable or improper coercion or any unlawful circumstances or other form of excuse for HT4 not to be truthful and accurate in its representations to LandArizona.
- 62. LandArizona was consequently and proximately injured by HT4's deceit, in the form of HT4's recording of an invalid mechanic's lien, along with HT4's recording a groundless notice of *lis pendens*, and HT4's filing this groundless lawsuit.
- 63. HT4's actions were improper and malicious and were undertaken with an intention to cause harm to LandArizona, as part of an effort to improperly extract payment from LandArizona to which HT4 was not entitled.
- 64. HT4's malice is established by HT4's knowledge that it has taken the foregoing improper actions despite having generated and provided the Conditional Final, and thereafter accepted the \$25,010.34 payment from LandArizona, and thereby converted the Conditional Final into an unconditional waiver and release on final payment.
- 65. HT4's malice is further established by the fact that, despite providing the form of Conditional Final in exchange for the \$25,010.34 payment, HT4 attempted to

claim that the Conditional Final failed to meet Arizona statutory requirements. <u>Exhibit</u> <u>D</u> hereto.

- 66. HT4's malice is further established by HT4's counsel, after LandArizona presented to her a copy of HT4's October 12, 2023, Conditional Final, by sending LandArizona multiple emails and correspondence threatening to foreclose HT4's lien claim unless LandArizona paid \$20,245.70 to HT4, which HT4's counsel eventually reduced to a \$15,000 demand before foreclosing HT4's lien against LandArizona's real property. Exhibit E hereto.
- 67. In that correspondence, HT4's counsel repeatedly made the false claim that LandArizona had drafted and provided the Conditional Final to HT4 to sign:
  - "The form that you presented to HT4 for signature does not come close to following Arizona's statutory form."
  - "LandAZ paid only \$25,010.34; the amount recited in the form of lien waiver you presented to HT4."
  - "In an apparent belief that the form of lien waiver you presented to HT4, once signed, would act to provide LandAZ with a discount, you refused to pay more."

See Ex. D, pp. 1-2.

68. HT4's malice is further established by the fact that it not only knowingly recorded a mechanic's lien that it knew was improper, due to HT4's issuance and signature of the Conditional Final and its acceptance of LandArizona's final payment of \$25,010.34, but HT4, in the face of repeated requests to release the lien, instead foreclosed it and recorded a notice of *lis pendens*.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>
23
23 24
2 <del>4</del> 25

27

28

WHEREFORE, the counterclaimant LandArizona/JAK, LLC, respectfully requests that this Court enter relief in favor of LandArizona and against the counterdefendant Rodney Ellwood Schlesener, dba HT4, as follows:

- A. For damages to be established at trial for the injury to LandArizona as a result of HT4's fraud and its recording of its mechanic's lien, recording its notice of *lis pendens*, and filing the present lawsuit;
- B. Finding that HT4 engaged in reprehensible and malicious conduct, with an evil mind, and thereby assessing punitive damages against HT4 and in favor of LandArizona, in an amount to be established at trial;
- C. Awarding to LandArizona its costs and attorney fees as a prevailing party pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341, 341.01(A), 349-350, and A.R.S. §§ 33-995(E) and 998(B), along with statutory interest thereon;
- D. For an order entering such other and further relief in favor of LandArizona as this Court deems just and appropriate.

# **COUNT III** (Violation of A.R.S. § 33-420)

- 69. LandArizona re-alleges the allegations set forth above and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 70. HT4, on November 21, 2023, recorded a "Notice and Claim of Mechanic's and Materialman's Lien" against real property owned by Counterclaimant LandArizona/JAK LLC ("Lien Claim").

71. Pursuant to the standards of liability in A.R.S. § 33-420(A), HT4 knew at the time of recording the Lien Claim that it was groundless, due to LandArizona having paid the \$25,010.34 set forth in the Conditional Final.

- 72. HT4 further knew the Lien Claim was groundless due to it containing a material misstatement that LandArizona owed \$20,245.70 to HT4.
- 73. HT4 further knew that the Lien Claim was a false claim due to all of the preceding facts and was therefore invalid.
- 74. Further pursuant to the standards of liability in A.R.S. § 33-420(A), HT4, on May 16, 2024, HT4 filed a foreclosure action upon its Mechanic's Lien and recorded a notice of *lis pendens* against the real property of LandArizona.
- 75. HT4 knew at the time of foreclosing the Lien Claim and recording the notice of *lis pendens* that the lien foreclosure and the notice of *lis pendens* were groundless, due to LandArizona having paid the \$25,010.34 set forth in the Conditional Final.
- 76. HT4 also knew that its Lien Claim foreclosure and notice of *lis pendens* were groundless at the time of their filing and recording, because the Lien Claim contained a material misstatement that LandArizona owed \$20,245.70 to HT4 and was a false claim due to the preceding facts and was therefore invalid.
- 77. Accordingly, pursuant to A.R.S. § 33-420(A), HT4, due to its conduct described throughout LandArizona's Answer and Counterclaim, incurred liability to LandArizona for the sum of not less than ten thousand dollars for two offenses to be penalized for five thousand dollars each, i.e., recording the Lien Claim and the notice of

*lis pendens*, or for treble the actual damages to LandArizona, whichever is greater, and reasonable attorney fees and costs of this action.

78. Further, pursuant to A.R.S. § 33-420(C), HT4 will be liable to LandArizona for the total sum of \$2,000, for two instances of conduct penalized at \$1,000 each, or treble actual damages, whichever is greater, along with an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs of this litigation, if HT4 does not release its Mechanic's Lien and notice of *lis pendens* within twenty (20) days of LandArizona's email transmittal of a written demand to HT4's counsel on May 24, 2024, for HT4 to release both the Mechanic's Lien and the notice of *lis pendens*.

WHEREFORE, the counterclaimant LandArizona/JAK, LLC, respectfully requests that this Court enter relief in favor of LandArizona and against the counterdefendant Rodney Ellwood Schlesener, dba HT4, as follows:

- A. For a finding that HT4 violated A.R.S. § 33-420 by recording of its Mechanic's Lien and its notice of *lis pendens*;
- B. For an award of damages against HT4 and in favor of LandArizona in the amount of \$5,000 each for HT4 recording its Mechanic's Lien and its notice of *lis pendens*, in the total amount of \$10,000, or for treble LandArizona's actual damages to be established at trial, whichever is greater;
- C. In the event that HT4 refuses to release its Mechanic's Lien and notice of lis pendens by not later than June 13, 2024, then for an award of damages against HT4 and in favor of LandArizona in the amount of \$1,000 each for HT4 recording its Mechanic's Lien and its notice of *lis pendens*, in the total

	II .	
1		amount of \$2,000, or for treble LandArizona's actual damages to be
2		established at trial, whichever is greater;
3	D.	Awarding to LandArizona its costs and attorney fees as a prevailing party
4		
5		pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 33-420(A) and (C), A.R.S. §§ 12-341, 341.01(A),
6		349-350, and A.R.S. §§ 33-995(E) and 998(B), along with statutory interest
7		thereon;
8	E.	For an order entering such other and further relief in favor of LandArizona,
10		as this Court deems just and appropriate.
11	RESP	PECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 20th day of June, 2024.
12		UDALL SHUMWAY PLC
13		ODALL SHOWWAT TEC
14		<u>/s/James B. Reed</u> Roger C. Decker
15		James B. Reed 1138 North Alma School Road, Suite
16		101 Mesa, AZ 85201
17		Attorneys for Defendant
18	ORIGINAL	a filed via TurboCourt this
19	20 <sup>th</sup> day of J	
20	COPY emai	led this same date to:
21	Julianne C. V	Wheeler
22	WHEELER	LAW GROUP, PLLC e Road, Suite 203
23	Chandler, A	Z 85286-8600 eelerlawgroup.law
24	jcw@wheeler Attorneys for	·lawgroup.law
25	/s/ Kristina I	
26	11749104.1/122331.	
27		
28		

# **EXHIBIT** A

### PROPOSAL

_	1 7
_	17

Boomer Schlesener PO Box 552

Mobile: (928)322-4447

Date of Acceptance \_\_\_07-06-2023

Safford,AZ 85548	(V)	Email: boon	nerht4const@gmail.com
PROPOSAL SUBMITTED TO	PHONE		DATE
Land Arizona/ JAK LLC	(760)505-8999		07/05/2023
ADDRESS	EMAIL		
2015 Freda Ln	jim@landarizo	ona.net	Quartzsite Job # 3-2023
CITY, STATE AND ZIP			
Cardiff, CA 92007			
We hereby submit specifications and estimates for This includes 1500 LF of SID 21 pipe,			Waterline
Two Water Services with Just Angle Me Run to property line,	ier Stops,		
Also one Blow-off and one Air Release Va	nlv <i>o</i>		
We propose hereby to furnish material and labor -	- complete in acc	ordance with the abo	ve specifications for the sum of:
Twenty Nine Thousand, Eight hundred Tw	velve dollars		\$29,812.00
Payment to be made as follows:			
Payment in full due upon completion of sa	id work.		
Any alteration or deviation from above specifications involcosts will be executed only upon written order and will be charge over and above the estimate.	come an extra	Signature	
	Note – this proposo	al may be withdrawn by	us if not accepted within 3 days.
The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfa hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as sp. Payment will be made as outlined above.		Customer Signature	Elmest MER

#### PROPOSAL

	HT4	
Boomer Schlesener PO Box 552 Safford,AZ 85548	·	28)322-4447 nerht4const@gmail.com
PROPOSAL SUBMITTED TO	PHONE	DATE
and Arizona/ JAK LLC	(760)505-8999	07/05/2023
ADDRESS	EMAIL	
015 Freda Ln	jim@landarizona.net	Quartzsite Job # 3-2023
CITY, STATE AND ZIP		
ardiff, CA 92007		
We hereby submit specifications and estimates for uild a road 24' wide by 12" high for 350 orrow source will be 400' to 500' away wner to supply 6" of AB for road base, his includes some time to help channel w	Feet er Stops,	Earthwork
We propose hereby to furnish material and labor -	complete in accordance with the abo	ove specifications for the sum of:
ghteen Thousand, Five Hundred Twenty	Three Dollars	\$18,523.00
ayment to be made as follows:	TOTAL FOR BOTH JOBS: \$4	48,335.00
	Gross Receipts Tax	\$2,387.75
	TOTAL DUE	\$50,722.75
syment in full due upon completion of sai	d work.	
ny alteration or deviation from above specifications invol osts will be executed only upon written order and will bec		

The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified. Payment will be made as outlined above.

charge over and above the estimate.

Customer Signature

Date of Acceptance 07-06-2023

Note - this proposal may be withdrawn by us if not accepted within 3 days.

#### PROPOSAL

HT4

Boomer Schlesener PO Box 552

Mobile: (928)322-4447

PROPOSAL SUBMITTED TO		il: boomerht4const@gmail.com
	PHONE	DATE
Land Arizona/ JAK LLC	(760)505-8999	07/05/2023
ADDRESS	EMAIL	1
2015 Freda Ln	jim@landarizona.net	Quartzsite Job # 3-2023
CITY, STATE AND ZIP		
Cardiff, CA 92007		
We hereby submit specifications and estimates I will place 6" of borrow, And 6" of AB supplied by owner	for:	Earthwork for Site Pads
We propose hereby to furnish material and labo	or – complete in accordance wi	th the above specifications for the sum of:
Twenty Three Thousand, Two Hundred  Payment to be made as follows:	Sixty Seven Dollars and	
	Sixty Seven Dollars and  Gross Receipts Tax	\$23,267.30 \$22,172.00 \$1,095.30
		\$22,172.00
	Gross Receipts Tax TOTAL DUE	\$22,172.00 \$1,095.30
Payment to be made as follows:	Gross Receipts Tax TOTAL DUE said work.  nvolving extra become an extra Signature	\$22,172.00 \$1,095.30 \$23,267.30

# **EXHIBIT** B

#### CONDITIONAL WAIVER AND RELEASE ON FINAL PAYMENT

NOTICE: THIS DOCUMENT WAIVES THE CLAIMANT'S LIEN, STOP PAYMENT NOTICE, AND PAYMENT BOND RIGHTS EFFECTIVE ON RECEIPT OF PAYMENT. A PERSON SHOULD NOT RELY ON THIS DOCUMENT UNLESS SATISFIED THAT THE CLAIMANT HAS RECEIVED PAYMENT.

Identifying Information
Name of Claimant: Rod Schlesener DBA HT4
Name of Customer: LandArizona/JAK LLC
Job Location: 29884 N 53rd Street Quartzsite, Arizona 85346 La Paz County
Owner: LandArizona/JAK LLC
Conditional Waiver and Release  This document waives and releases lien, stop payment notice, and payment bond rights the claimant has for labor and service provided, and equipment and material delivered, to the customer on this job. Rights based upon labor or service provided, or equipment or material delivered, pursuant to a written change order that has been fully executed by the parties prior to the date that this document is signed by the claimant, are waived and released by this document, unless listed as an Exception below. This document is effective only on the claimant's receipt of payment from the financial institution on which the following check is drawn:
Maker of Check: LandArizona/JAK LLC
Amount of Check: \$25,010.34
Check Payable to: HT4
Exceptions
This document does not affect any of the following: Disputed claims for extras in the amount of: \$
Signature
Claimant's Signature: 20 E Shopping
Claimant's Title: Owner DUNER
Date of Signature: 10/11/2023

# EXHIBIT C

Cash  Cash
--

# EXHIBIT D

From: Emma Poeling ht4boomer@yahoo.com

Subject: Lien

Date: February 16, 2024 at 9:46 AM

To: Jim landarizona.net jim@landarizona.net

u

Jim,

My attorney used to work at the law firm you listed as to where your attorney works. She would like to know the name of your attorney. The conditional waiver and release on final payment does not meet Arizona statutory requirements.

CC:Julianne C. Wheeler Julianna Law Group

> Thank you, Emma for Boomer of HT4

# EXHIBIT E



Julianne C. Wheeler Attorney

Direct Line: 602-885-7485 jcw@wheelerlawgroup.law

Toni Hannigan Paralegal

Direct Line: 602-228-4809 toni@wheelerlawgroup.law

May 2, 2024

#### VIA EMAIL jim@landarizona.net

James Kunisch LandArizona/JAK LLC 2015 Freda Lane Cardiff by the Sea, CA 92007

Re:

HT 4 Notice and Claim of Mechanics' Lien ("Mechanics' Lien") Settlement Offer subject to Rule 408, Arizona Rules of Evidence

#### Dear Mr. Kunisch:

As you know from our April 29, 2024, conversation, this firm represents HT4, the entity that recorded the attached Mechanics' Lien against real property owned by LandArizona/JAK LLC (LandAZ"). During our conversation you advised me that, although you stated, in your January 30, 2024 letter to Rodney Schlesener of HT4, that you "sought legal counsel from [your] attorney at Jennings Haug Keleher McLeod" ("JHKM"), you are not, in fact, represented by that firm. Instead, you used Rocket Lawyer and communicated with Joseph Brophy, an attorney at JHKM, about the dispute between LandAZ and HT4 but never retained the firm as counsel. Accordingly, I am writing to you, instead of to Mr. Brophy, as you directed.

Your January 30, 2024, letter reflects a misunderstanding of Arizona law in several respects, only one of which is pertinent to this letter. Please note that a Conditional Waiver and Release on Final Payment must "follow substantially" the statutory form set forth in A.R.S. § 33-1008. A copy of that form is attached for your review. Under subsection (D) of that statute, a "waiver and release given by any claimant is unenforceable" if it does not substantially follow the attached form. The form that you presented to HT4 for signature does not come close to following Arizona's statutory form.



WHEELER LAW GROUP, PLLC 1490 S. Price Road, Suite 203 Chandler, AZ 85286-6800 Beyond that, LandAZ and HT4 had three (3) different contracts; one was for waterline work, a second for road earthwork and the third for earthwork for site pads. At your request, additional work was performed, all of which was described in an October 14, 2023, letter to you and summarized as follows:

HT4 recorded a lien for precisely this amount.

<b>E</b>	E.	-	A	1
R			~	I
- 5000				

Money back on water line bill -

\$1,497.20 for pads

\$2,457.50 for road

\$375.00 for Tom Smith's hrs.

Total \$4,329.70

Extra cost for hauling water

Truck time \$864.00

Extra rental time \$7,067.00

Labor costs \$4,000.00

Total \$11,931.00

Hose at well site \$175.00

Level around pump house \$100.00

Haul Road \$800.00

Total \$1,075.00

Electric Trench \$100 X 6 hrs = \$600.00

Extra cost for motels \$1,356.00

Total due \$19,291.70

GROSS RECEIPTS TAX \$954.00

GRAND TOTAL NOW DUE \$20,245.70

LandAZ paid only \$25,101.34; the amount recited in the form of lien waiver that you presented to HT4. In an apparent belief that the form of lien waiver that you presented to HT4, once signed, would act to provide LandAZ with a discount, you refused to pay more. Nowhere on the Conditional Waiver form does it state the name of the project for which lien rights were being waived or the nature of the work that was the subject of the form of lien waiver. Mr. Schlesener signed the document without any forewarning that LandAZ intended to pay nothing further for the additional work performed.

When we spoke, you told me that HT4 "cost you \$5,000" and claimed a right to backcharge for that amount. There are no communications from you that would explain a basis for doing that. In short, with the additional work performed on the waterline and because the form of lien waiver fails to comply with Arizona law, HT4 is due a minimum of \$20,245.70, plus statutory interest at 18% per Arizona's Prompt Pay Act to be calculated from the date due to the present, as well as attorneys' fees and costs.

In addition to the Mechanic's Lien Foreclosure claim, HT4 has a claim for unjust enrichment. There is little doubt that LandAZ has been unjustly enriched by the work that HT4 performed. Yout material quantities were wrong. Material was hauled from your friend's house and water had to be hauled from Quartzsite because you failed to provide it. Both you and your wife agreed to pay for that extra work. In addition, HT4 sat on its hands for 11 days waiting for you to get your pump ready. As a result, HT4 is entitled to restitution from LandAZ in an amount equal to the reasonable value of the work and materials provided. We are prepared to offer the testimony of another contractor who performs similar work. That contractor will testify that the amount that HT4 seeks as restitution is equal to or less than the reasonable value of the work performed.

When we spoke, you offered to resolve the matter for \$5,000.00. That offer is rejected. For purposes of settlement, only, and provided that a check for \$15,000 is received by either my office or HT4 no later than close of business on May 9, 2024, HT4 will accept \$15,000 as full and final payment for the amount due. I will prepare a Final Conditional Waiver and Release on Final Payment and present it to you after the check is received. Once the check clears the issuing bank, I will provide an Unconditional Waiver and Release on Final Payment, signed by Mr. Schlesener.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

WHEELER LAW GROUP, PLLC

Sincerely,

/s/Julianne C. Wheeler

Julianne C. Wheeler For the Firm

JCW/ cc: Rodney Schlesener Encl.

Brains of a new a 新沙斯 有一维 电电流 大学的,在1995年 1996年 - 1987年 - 1987年版 1998年 - 1986年 - 1987年 - 1987年 - 1987年 - 1987年 1988年 - 1986年 - 1987年 - 1988年 - 1988年

OWNER OR REPUTED OWNER

Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007

LandArizona/JAK LLC

2015 Freda Lane

#### PREPARED BY, RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND RETURN TO:

Jeff Veerhusen, As Ltd. Agent For National Lien & Bond Services LLC 428 E Thunderbird Rd, #131 Phoenix, AZ 85022

NOTICE AND CLAIM OF MECHANIC'S AND MATERIAL MAN'S LIEN

CLAIMANT

HT4

PO Box 552

Safford, AZ 85548

Nature of improvements to be charged with a Lien:

General Contracting, Construction, & Repair

Amount of claim after deducting just credits and offsets:

\$20,245,70

#### **REAL PROPERTY ADDRESS/LOCATION**

Quartzsite Land, 29884 N 53rd St, Quartzsite, AZ 85346 in the County of La Paz, APN: 302-56-003 A legal description of the property follows: THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 29. TOWNSHIP 3 NORTH, RANGE 19 WEST OF THE GILA AND SALT RIVER BASE AND MERIDIAN, LAPAZ COUNTY. ARIZONA (Section: 29 Township: 3N Range: 19W NE4 NE4 SEC 29 T-3N R-19W EX N 33' RD R/W),

- 1. I am the Claimant or have knowledge of the facts of this claim and make this affidavit in compliance with ARS 33-993.
- 2. Claimant has furnished labor, materials, machinery, fixtures or tools in the construction, alteration or repair of the buildings. other structures or above described improvements on Subject Real Property. This was done at the Request of Owner or Reputed Owner, or at the request of a person whom Claimant reasonably believed to be the lawful agent of Owner or Reputed Owner.
- 3. The Owner or Reputed Owner by whom Claimant was employed or to whom Claimant furnished materials was LandArizona/JAK LLC, 2015 Freda Lane, Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007.
- 4. The labor, materials, machinery, fixtures or tools were furnished pursuant to a written contract, a copy of which is attached.
- 4. The building, structure or improvement or the alteration or repair of such building, structure or improvement was completed on October 3, 2023.
- 5. The Preliminary Twenty Day Notice required by ARS 33-992.01 was served on July 25, 2023.
- 6. If the lien against the dwelling of a person who became an owner-occupant prior to the construction, alteration, repair or improvement, the claimant has executed written contract directly with the owner-occupant, ARS 33-1002.

WHEREFORE Claimant demands a lien on the Subject Real Property and all improvements thereon in the amount set forth above, and in order to fix this lien has made this Notice and Claim in two or more original copies, causing one to be filed in the Office of the County Recorder of this County.

Dated November 21, 2023 for HT4, PO Box 552, Safford, AZ 85548

of beerhouse Jeff Veerhusen, As Ltd. Agent For, HT4

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY NOTARY PUBLIC**

State of Arizona	)
	) §
County Of Maricopa	)

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN TO on the 21st day of November 2023 before me, Suzanne L. Hall NOTARY PUBLIC:

Signature Suppose State of Arizona
My Commission Expires: 01/01/2019 07/16/2026

SUZANNE L HALL Notary Public - Arteona Maricopa County Commission # 631718 My Comm. Expires Jul 16, 2026

#### Exhibit A - Property Description

Owner: LandArizona/JAK LLC, 2015 Freda Lane, Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007

Project: Quartzsite Land, 29884 N 53rd St, Quartzsite, AZ 85346

The following is a complete legal description, to the best of our knowledge, of the property to be liened. Information for this exhibit was obtained through the Recorder's Office where the property is located, or from other sources.

THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 3 NORTH, RANGE 19 WEST OF THE GILA AND SALT RIVER BASE AND MERIDIAN, LAPAZ COUNTY, ARIZONA (Section: 29 Township: 3N Range: 19W NE4 NE4 SEC 29 T-3N R-19W EX N 33' RD R/W)

File No: 5366 | AZ | Notice Requested by and Return To: National Lien & Bond Services LLC 428 E Thunderbird Rd, #131 Phoenix, AZ 85022 | Job No: 0723 Customer: LandArizonaidAK LLC Project: Quartzsite Land Rec. ID: 778721 FIRST CLASS MAIL Return Receipt Requested

#### TWENTY DAY PRELIMINARY NOTICE

In Accordance With Arizona Revised Statutes Section 33-992.01

THIS IS NOT A LIEN. THIS IS NOT A REFLECTION ON THE INTEGRITY OF ANY CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR

TO: OWNER OR REPUTED OWNER LandArizona/JAK LLC 2015 Freda Lane Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007 TO: ORIGINAL CONTRACTOR OR REPUTED CONTRACTOR HT4 PO Box 552

Safford, AZ 85548

TO: TENANT OR REPUTED TENANT

- The following is a general description of the labor, service, equipment or materials furnished or to be furnished by the undersigned.
- General Contracting, Construction, & Repair
- 2 Estimated Price: \$75,000.00
- 3. The name of the person who furnished that labor, service, equipment or materials is:

HT4 PO Box 552 Safferd, AZ 85548

4. The name of the person who contracted for purchase of that labor, service, equipment or material is:

LandAnzona/JAK LLC 2015 Freda Lane Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007 5. The description of the jobsite is,

Quertzsite Land 29864 N 53rd St Quartzsite, AZ 85346 County of La Paz, APN: 302-56-003

 Date Claimant first provided said labor, services, equipment or materials: 07/03/2023

#### NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER

If bills are not paid in full for the labor, professional services, materials, machinery, fixtures or tools furnished, or to be furnished, a Mechanic's Lien leading to the loss, through court foreclosure proceedings, of all or part of your property being improved may be placed against the property. You may wish to protect yourself against this consequence by either:

- 1. Requiring your contractor to furnish a conditional waiver and release pursuent to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 33-1008, Subsection D. Paragraphs 1 and 3 signed by the person or firm giving you this notice before you make payment to your contractor.
- 2. Requiring your contractor to furnish a unconditional waiver and release pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 33-1008, Subsection
- D. Paragraphs 2 and 4 signed by the person or firm giving you this notice before you make payment to your contractor.

3. Using any other method or daylice that is appropriate under the circumstances.

Within ten days of the receipt of this preiminary twenty day notice the owner or other interested party is required to furnish all information necessary to correct any inaccuracies in the notice pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 33-992.01, Subsection 1 or lose as a defense or lose as a defense any inaccuracy of that information.

Within tan days of the receipt of this preirminary twenty day notice if any Payment Bond has been recorded in compliance with Arizona Revised Statutes Section 33-1003. The owner must provide a copy of the Payment Bond including the name and address of the surely company and bonding agent providing the Payment Bond to the person who has given the preliminary twenty day notice. In the event that the owner or other interested party fails to provide the fond information within that ten day pends, the claimant shall ratain from rights to the extent precluded in prejudiced from asserting a claim against the bond as a result of or timely receive the bond information.

Prepared by National Lien & Bond Services LLC, 428 E Truncerbird Rd, #131, Phoenix, AZ 85022 Phone. (602) 635 4679

# UNCONDITIONAL WAIVER AND RELEASE ON FINAL PAYMENT PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 33-1008

Project:	
Job No.:	
furnished to the jobsite or to contracted) on the job of description) and does hereby waive an or federal statutory bond right, any pr rights under any similar ordinance, rul	Ill for all labor, services, equipment or material (person with whom undersigned (owner) located at (job and release any right to mechanic's lien, any state livate bond right, any claim for payment and any e or statute related to claim or payment rights for except for disputed claims for extra work in the
receives from this final payment t	ther has already paid or will use the monies he to promptly pay in full all of his laborers, ppliers for all work, materials, equipment or referenced project.
Dated:	
	>NAME OF COMPANY
	Ву
	>Name
	>Title

NOTICE: This document waives rights unconditionally and states that you have been paid for giving up those rights. This document is enforceable against you if you sign it, even if you have not been paid. If you have not been paid, use a conditional release form.