

November 10, 2009

Betsey Wingfield Bureau Chief, Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

RE: Crystal Pond Dam – Eastford Emergency Repairs Construction Report

Dear Ms. Wingfield:

In response to the identified need for immediate repairs to Crystal Pond Dam in Eastford, Connecticut, and pursuant to the subsequent Dam Construction Emergency Authorization (DS-2009-02EA) issued by your office, Crystal Pond Association has completed dam repairs under the direction of Fuss & O'Neill, Inc. Construction took place on October 12 and 13, 2009.

The purpose of the dam construction was to repair leakage and piping erosion that had been discovered, originating approximately six inches below the pond's normal water surface elevation on the dam's upstream face and emerging at the downstream toe. In addition the end of a wooden log had been observed embedded in the dam embankment near the leakage entry point.

In the weeks prior to construction, the Association increased their normal winter drawdown by gradually lowering the pond to about 5 feet below the normal pool water surface elevation. Fuss & O'Neill used a soil auger to take several samples of the existing embankment soil and performed a gradation analysis. Eastern Construction of Eastford, Connecticut, the contractor selected by the Association to perform the repairs, identified and stockpiled a suitable soil for use in embankment reconstruction. This soil was also analyzed and found by a Fuss & O'Neill geotechnical engineer to be sufficiently impervious and a good match to the existing embankment material. The contractor also installed erosion controls along the up- and downstream toe of the dam in the area that was to be excavated.

A Fuss & O'Neill engineer was on hand during construction to observe the exploratory excavation and embankment reconstruction. The contractor began by carefully exposing the wooden log embedded in the upstream side of the dam. The log was found to be only two feet in length and was easily removed. Subsequently the contractor followed the leakage path through the embankment, stopping frequently to clear the spoils by hand and

G:\P2005\0682\B30\Emergency Repairs Report\dwh_EmergencyRepairsReport_20091110.doc Corres.

146 Hartford Road Manchester, CT 06040-5921

t (860) 646-2469 (800) 286-2469 f (860) 533-5143

www.FandO.com

Connecticut Massachusetts New York Rhode Island South Carolina



Ms. Betsey Wingfield November 10, 2009 Page 2

back as practicable without threatening the integrity of the downstream portion of the embankment. Several pieces of wood planking were removed from the bottom of the excavation, apparently the remnant of a fence impounded within and parallel to the base of the dam. A margin of approximately 2 feet was excavated around the leakage path exit point and the slopes were laid back to facilitate good contact between old and new embankment materials. Loose soil and stone were removed and the surfaces lightly scarified.

The completed excavation, with a depth of approximately 5 feet, was surrounded on 3 ¹/₂ sides by intact embankment. This facilitated placement and compaction of fill. The contractor lined the downstream face and bottom of the excavation with a permeable filter fabric, covering the leakage path exit point. New embankment fill was placed in 8-inch lifts and compacted using a plate vibrator and jumping jack. When the embankment had been reconstructed in this manner a 4-inch lift of topsoil was placed over the excavation site, and topsoil was also used to fill ruts left by the machinery along the dam crest. These areas were seeded and covered by straw matting to prevent erosion. Riprap was re-installed along the upstream face of the dam at the location of the excavation.

The association will now monitor the embankment for signs of leakage or movement as the water level is returned to normal level and throughout the next year.

It is our opinion that the immediate need of repairing piping erosion at the Crystal Pond Dam has been satisfied at this time. Please refer to the attached sequence of construction, sketches, photographs of construction activities, and soil gradation analyses.

Sincerely,

David W. Hammon

David Hammond, EIT, CFM Project Engineer

Philip Ŵ. Moreschi, P.E., CFM Vice President

- c: Carroll Stearns, Crystal Pond Association Peter Spangenberg, P.E., CT DEP
- Attachments: Sequence of Construction Location Map (Figure 1) Construction As-built Sketches (Figures 2-6) Photo Log Soil Gradation Analyses

G:\P2005\0682\B30\Emergency Repairs Report\dwh_EmergencyRepairsReport_20091110.doc Corres.



Emergency Repairs Sequence of Construction

10/12/2009:

- 1. Dewatered impoundment and installed soil and erosions controls on up- and downstream sides of dam at project location.
- 2. Carefully exposed full extent of wood/log located within embankment. Log was only 2 feet long and was easily removed.
- 3. Completed excavation to expose leakage path, leaving embankment intact on downstream side and along most of upstream side.
- 4. Excavated further to provide a 2-foot margin on all sides of exit hole on downstream face of excavation.
- 5. Removed loose soils and stone from excavation and lightly scarified surface.
- 6. Placed permeable filter fabric against downstream face of excavation, including exit site of leakage path.
- 7. Placed new embankment soil in 8-inch lifts and compacted using plate vibrator and jumping jack.

10/13/2009:

- 8. Placed 4 inches of topsoil over excavation site, as well as to cover ruts along crest from machinery.
- 9. Seeded topsoil and staked hay mats for erosion protection.



MS VIEW:

LICS-WORL















Photo 1: Overview of Crystal Pond from Dam. Pond is drawn down approx. 5 feet.



Photo 2: Overview of construction location on dam, right of low-level outlet intake riser.





Photo 3: Start of excavation



Photo 4: Embedded log was found to be only 2 feet in length and was easily removed.





Photo 5: Excavation followed the seepage path. At this point it opened up to nearly the size of a basketball.



Photo 6: The completed excavation retained intact embankment on the downstream side and along much of the upstream side.





Photo 7: Location of seepage path exit point on downstream face of excavation



Photo 8: Permeable filter fabric installed, lining the downstream face of the excavation.





Photo 9: Embankment fill placed and compacted in 8-inch lifts (1 of 4)



Photo 10: Embankment fill placed and compacted in 8-inch lifts (2 of 4)





Photo 11: Embankment fill placed and compacted in 8-inch lifts (3 of 4)



Photo 12: Embankment fill placed and compacted in 8-inch lifts (4 of 4)





Photo 13: Riprap re-installed along the dam's upstream face for erosion protection



Photo 14: 4-inch layer of topsoil placed and seeded over excavation site and to fill ruts from machinery.





Photo 15: Hay matting placed and staked for erosion protection.



Client:	Fuss & ON	eill, Inc				
Project:	Crystal Pond Dam Emergency Repairs					
Location:	Eastford, (СТ			Project No:	GTX-9343
Boring ID:		Sample Type:	bag	Tested By:	jbr	
Sample ID:B-6		Test Date:	09/24/09	Checked By:	jdt	
Depth :			Test Id:	164536		
Test Comment:						
Sample Description: Moist, dark olive		e brown silty sa	ind			
Sample Comment: Organics noted			n sample			

Particle Size Analysis - ASTM D 422-63 (reapproved 2002)



AASHTO Silty Gravel and Sand (A-2-4 (0))

Sample/Test Description Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ANGULAR Sand/Gravel Hardness : HARD

Existing dam embankment soil (Sample 1 of 2)

33

printed 9/28/2009 12:26:11 PM

#200

0.075



Client:	Fuss & ON	leill, Inc				
Project:	Crystal Pond Dam Emergency Repairs					
Location:	Eastford,	СТ			Project No:	GTX-9343
Boring ID:			Sample Type:	bag	Tested By:	jbr
Sample ID:B-7			Test Date:	09/24/09	Checked By:	jdt
Depth :			Test Id:	164537		
Test Comm	nent:					
Sample Description: Moist, light br		own silty sand				
Sample Comment:						



Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ANGUL Sand/Gravel Hardness : HARD

Existing dam embankment soil (Sample 2 of 2)



Client:	Fuss & ONeill, Inc						
Project:	Crystal Pond Dam Emergency Repairs						
Location:	Eastford,	СТ			Project No:	GTX-9343	
Boring ID:		Sample Type:	bag	Tested By:	jbr		
Sample ID:EC-A		Test Date:	10/05/09	Checked By:	jdt		
Depth :			Test Id:	165449			
Test Comment:							
Sample Description: Moist, yellowish		brown silty sa	nd				
Sample Co	mment:						

Particle Size Analysis - ASTM D 422-63 (reapproved 2002) 0.5 in 0.375 in #100 #200 #10 #40 09# #20 #4 100 90 80 70 60 Percent Finer 50 40 30 20 10 0 0.1 0.001 1000 100 10 1 0.01 Grain Size (mm) % Cobble %Gravel % Sand % Silt & Clay Size 11.8 55.1 33.1 ____ Sieve Name Sieve Size, mm Percent Finer Spec. Percent Complies

0.5 in	12.50	100	
0.375 in	9.50	95	
#4	4.75	88	
#10	2.00	79	
#20	0.85	71	
#40	0.42	63	
#60	0.25	55	
#100	0.15	44	
#200	0.075	33	

<u>Coefficients</u>						
$D_{85} = 3.5174 \text{ mm}$	$D_{30} = N/A$					
D ₆₀ =0.3414 mm	$D_{15} = N/A$					
D ₅₀ =0.1979 mm	$D_{10} = N/A$					
$C_u = N/A$	C _c =N/A					
ASTM N/A	Classification					

AASHTO Silty Gravel and Sand (A-2-4 (0))

Sample/Test Description Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ANGULAR

Sand/Gravel Hardness : HARD

Soil used for embankment reconstruction (Sample 1 of 2)

printed 10/6/2009 1:15:25 PM



Client:	Fuss & ONeill, Inc						
Project:	Crystal Pond Dam Emergency Repairs						
Location:	Eastford,	СТ			Project No:	GTX-9343	
Boring ID: Sample Type: bag Tested By: jbr				jbr			
Sample ID:EC-B			Test Date:	10/05/09	Checked By:	jdt	
Depth :			Test Id:	165450			
Test Comment:							
Sample Description: Moist, light yellowish brown silty sand with gravel							
Sample Comment:							

Particle Size Analysis - ASTM D 422-63 (reapproved 2002) 0.5 in 0.375 in 0.75 in #200 #100 #60 #10 #40 #20 #4 100 90 80 70 60 Percent Finer 50 40 30 20 10 0 1000 100 10 1 0.1 0.01 0.001 Grain Size (mm) % Cobble % Gravel % Sand % Silt & Clay Size ____ 16.3 62.5 21.2 Sieve Name Sieve Size, mm Percent Finer Spec. Percent Complies Coefficients D₈₅ = 5.3348 mm D₃₀ =0.1373 mm 0.75 in 19.00 D₆₀=0.6771 mm $D_{15} = N/A$ 0.5 in 12.50 96 0.375 in 9.50 91 D₅₀ = 0.3701 mm $D_{10} = N/A$ #4 4.75 84 $C_u = N/A$ $C_c = N/A$ #10 2.00 73 #20 0.85 **Classification** 64 0.42 53 ASTM N/A #40 #60 0.25 42 #100 0.15 31

AASHTO Silty Gravel and Sand (A-2-4 (0))

Sample/Test Description Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ANGULAR

Sand/Gravel Hardness : HARD

0.075

21

#200