The Receiver is Panicking: Why the Legacy Media Wants to Shut Down the Truth to help the Receiver.

We all remember the warning: *“Bad things happen in Philadelphia.”*

When it comes to the legacy media in the city of Philadelphia, that statement has never been more accurate. The establishment press does not investigate the democrats in government; they protect them. From day one, the Philadelphia Inquirer has operated as a personal PR firm for the receiver, the SEC, and the AUSA. And now they have been deployed behind the scenes as operatives for the Receiver to combat the truth that has been illustrated on freejoelaforte.com. They do not report the facts; they act as stenographers for weaponized alphabet agencies.

Today, the mask slipped. The Philadelphia Inquirer reached out to our team with a loaded, ridiculous question about this very website, freejoelaforte.com. Their email asked exactly one thing: "What are your intentions in the site?"

Why are they so interested now, years later? Because they need to figure out a way to suppress, suppress, suppress exculpatory evidence and their absurd, illegal billing that freejoelaforte.com has been exposing. Their intention is absolutely clear: run defense for a failing government narrative. The undeniable proof—the actual court dockets and forensic audits they refused to report on for the last six years—is finally bypassing their gatekeepers and reaching the people directly. They are panicking.

Let us answer their question right here, in public, before they try to chop up our words for their next hit piece. Our intention as freedom fighters is to expose the massive, institutional fraud perpetrated by the government and to show the world exactly how the Inquirer helped them cover it up.

Here is the truth they are terrified of, backed by the receipts.

The Receipts: Fake News vs. The Court Docket
For years, writers at the Inquirer have pumped out articles rubber-stamping the government's narrative while actively hiding exculpatory evidence that was clearly filed on the record. Let us look at the actual facts they buried to protect their establishment bosses.

The Fake "Ponzi" Narrative: The Inquirer aggressively branded Par Funding a "Ponzi scheme" in their headlines to destroy the company in the court of public opinion. Yet, they completely blacked out the findings of the Glick Forensic Reports and Declarations, a comprehensive analysis which Black Star News recently exposed. Black Star received comment where the SEC itself admitted behind closed doors that they "never called Par Funding Ponzi schemers and they take issue with it." Where was the Inquirer? Par Funding filed the comprehensive Glick forensic reports that mathematically destroyed the Ponzi classification. The Inquirer ignored it. They chose the government's fake news over hard evidence!

The Illusion of a "Rescue": The legacy media loves to print glowing puff pieces about the receiver "recovering" funds for investors. It fits their big-government savior complex perfectly. What they hide: The Inquirer completely omitted the reality that the receiver aggressively destroyed the merchant pool to manufacture a crisis. They failed to report that the receiver discounted massive debts owed to the company solely to reward allies like Shane Heskin. They did not save a failing business; they cannibalized a highly profitable, solvent one and gave the media the scraps to report as a "victory."

The Suppressed Evidence (DE 663): Exposing the Fraud on the Court

The most egregious failure of journalism in this entire saga is the complete, coordinated media blackout on Docket Entry 663.

While the Inquirer ran cover for the government, DE 663 laid out the unvarnished, undeniable evidence of systemic fraud perpetrated right on the court record. It proved, definitively, that the Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) used to seize this business was a complete and utter fraud—executed ex-parte, with no proper investigation, and zero opportunity for Par Funding and defendants to defend themselves because no Wells notice was ever given.

Here is exactly what DE 663 exposed, and exactly what the Inquirer refused to print:

The Fake Declarations & Political Cronyism: The entire foundation of the TRO was built on manufactured, phony declarations from Par Merchants represented by Shane Heskin. Who drafted them? Shane Heskin, who was appointed as a de facto prosecutor for the SEC by Amie Riggle Berlin—a partisan operative whose husband Brett Berlin, former chair of the Miami Dade Democratic Party and vice chair of the Florida Democratic Party. We explicitly detailed how they weaponized these fabricated metrics to justify seizing control, freezing assets, and destroying a solvent business. Not to mention lining their pockets with $30 million! Not once did the Inquirer mention the $30 million payday the Receiver bilked from the estate.

The $360 Million "Mistake": The government recklessly claimed that $360 million was being improperly funneled to Georgia, painting a picture of massive malfeasance and larceny which prompted Judge Rodolfo Ruiz to immediately grant the TRO. The reality? That money was simply moving through Par Funding's standard ACH carrier in the normal, daily course of operations. The media ran with the government's sensationalized lie instead of checking basic, operational business facts. When the AUSA brought its case a $360 million larceny would have been the central focus of the indictment. It never materialized into the indictment because they knew that years later it was not true proving the TRO was fraudulent. That alone should have dismissed the case for Fraud on the Court.

The 400% Interest Rate Lie: To poison the public well, they screamed from the rooftops that the company was charging 400% interest. Anyone with a keyboard and a basic understanding of commercial finance knows that a Merchant Cash Advance is a purchase of future receivables. By definition, there is no interest rate because it is not a loan. Yet, the Inquirer eagerly printed the government's financially illiterate talking points as absolute gospel.

The day that motion hit the docket, a real newspaper with a shred of integrity would have asked why the government was weaponizing fake declarations, acting as political operatives, and lying about basic financial mechanics. The Philadelphia Inquirer? Absolute silence. They refused to cover a single piece of exculpatory evidence because doing so would expose the fact that they are not reporters, they are PR agents for a broken, fraudulent system.

Bypassing the Gatekeepers

The Philadelphia Inquirer is not functioning as a newspaper. It is operating as a publicly funded defense mechanism for a rogue receivership, SEC, and the AUSA. They want to control the narrative because their entire house of cards relies on the public remaining completely in the dark about how these weaponized agencies actually operate.

They asked what our intentions are with this site. Our intention is to bring the fight directly to them.

The era of the legacy media controlling the flow of information is over. We have the suppressed audits, the default rate analysis, Sharp's non-GAAP non-accrual analysis, and the court dockets that prove that everything the government claimed was a manufactured lie.

We are not backing down, and we are not going away. The truth is out, and no amount of fake news from Philadelphia can stop it.

To our readers:
The Freedom Fighters next expose will be a review of the Receiver’s billing hours charging the estate to correspond with their in-house PR firm, The Philadelphia Inquirer. Including glaring headshots of Gaetan Alfano posing and gazing out his office window overlooking Philadelphia’s Democrat cesspool!